### ORIGINAL

# Association between Smart Phones Addiction and Digital Eye strain Syndrome among Private Universities Students in Riyadh

Asociación entre la adicción a los teléfonos inteligentes y el síndrome de fatiga visual digital entre los estudiantes de universidades privadas de Riad

## Mansour Khater Alzahrani

1. Department of Family and Community Medicine, College of Medicine, Majmaah University, Majmaah, Saudi Arabia

#### Corresponding author

Mansour Khater Alzahrani E-mail: m.alzahrani@mu.edu.sa Received: 9 - X - 2023 Accepted: 13 - XI - 2023

doi: 10.3306/AJHS.2024.39.02.81

Abstract

**Background:** Nowadays, smartphones play an important role in our lives, but despite their importance, many studies have shown that using smartphones for long periods of time has negative health effects, especially on the eyes. The most prevalent ocular illnesses brought on by excessive smartphone and computer use are dry eye, diplopia and, digital eye strain syndrome. This study aims to assess the association between smart phones addiction and digital eye strain syndrome among private universities students in Riyadh. *Method:* This cross-sectional study was conducted in private universities in capital city of Saudi Arabia, Riyadh. A target population was medical and non-medical females and males private universities students. The questionnaire was used as tool for collecting the data. It was consisted of Arabic version of the problematic use of mobile phones (PUMP) scale. The PUMP scale is a 20-item questionnaire that assesses mobile phone use based on the DSM-5 criteria for substance use disorder. In addition to, Digital eye strain report: convergence insufficiency symptoms questionnaire. The questionnaire was distributed to students manually and online. Time period for study was 8 months from January to August 2019.

**Results:** The study included 527 participants in total. Almost two-quarters of the participants were medical students, whereas one-quarter were non-medical students. Females made up the majority of the participants, accounting for 427 (81%) of the total, with males accounting for 100 (19%). This survey discovered that 45% of participants used smart gadgets for 7 hours or more, followed by n=217 (41.2%) who used them between 4-6 hours, and just 14% who used them between 1-3 hours. Participants n=485 (92% used a smartphone), followed by a laptop n=25 (4.7%), an iPad n=9 (1.7%), and less than 1% utilized a desktop computer or video games.

**Conclusion:** According to the study's findings, most college students use their smartphones for seven hours or longer every day. This discovery was strongly linked to the emergence of digital eye strain syndrome.

Key words: Smartphones, addiction, digital eye strain syndrome, university students.

#### Resumen

Antecedentes: Hoy en día, los teléfonos inteligentes desempeñan un papel importante en nuestras vidas, pero a pesar de su importancia, muchos estudios han demostrado que el uso de teléfonos inteligentes durante largos períodos de tiempo tiene efectos negativos para la salud, especialmente en los ojos. Las enfermedades oculares más prevalentes provocadas por el uso excesivo de smartphones y ordenadores son el ojo seco, la diplopía y, el síndrome de tensión ocular digital. Este estudio tiene como objetivo evaluar la asociación entre la adicción a los teléfonos inteligentes y el síndrome de tensión ocular digital entre los estudiantes de universidades privadas en Riad.

**Metodología:** Este estudio transversal se llevó a cabo en universidades privadas de la capital de Arabia Saudí, Riad. La población objetivo eran estudiantes de universidades privadas de ambos sexos, médicos y no médicos. Se utilizó un cuestionario como herramienta de recogida de datos. Estaba compuesto por la versión árabe de la escala de uso problemático del teléfono móvil (PUMP). La escala PUMP es un cuestionario de 20 ítems que evalúa el uso del teléfono móvil basándose en los criterios del DSM-5 para el trastorno por uso de sustancias. Además de, Informe de tensión ocular digital: cuestionario de síntomas de insuficiencia de convergencia. El cuestionario se distribuyó a los estudiantes de forma manual y en línea. El período de tiempo para el estudio fue de 8 meses de enero a agosto de 2019.

**Resultados:** El estudio incluyó 527 participantes en total. Casi dos cuartas partes de los participantes eran estudiantes de medicina, mientras que una cuarta parte eran estudiantes no médicos. Las mujeres constituyeron la mayoría de los participantes, representando 427 (81%) del total, mientras que los hombres representaron 100 (19%). Esta encuesta descubrió que el 45% de los participantes utilizaban los gadgets inteligentes durante 7 horas o más, seguidos de n=217 (41,2%) que los utilizaban entre 4 y 6 horas, y sólo un 14% que los utilizaban entre 1 y 3 horas. Los participantes n=485 (92% utilizaban un smartphone), seguidos de un ordenador portátil n=25 (4,7%), un iPad n=9 (1,7%), y menos del 1% utilizaba un ordenador de sobremesa o videojuegos. **Conclusiones:** Según los resultados del estudio, la mayoría de los estudiantes universitarios utilizan sus smartphones durante siete horas o más cada día. Este descubrimiento está estrechamente relacionado con la aparición del síndrome de fatiga visual digital.

Palabras clave: Smartphones, adicción, síndrome de fatiga visual digital, estudiantes universitarios.

**Cite as:** Mansour Khater Alzahrani. Association between Smart Phones Addiction and Digital Eye strain Syndrome among Private Universities Students in Riyadh. *Academic Journal of Health Sciences 2024*; 39 (2):81-86 doi: 10.3306/AJHS.2024.39.02.81

# Introduction

The term "smartphone" refers to a mobile phone that has many of the capabilities of a computer. It frequently has an operating system, a touch screen interface, and Internet access since it can run downloaded programs. Although the phrases smartphone, mobile phone, and cell phone are now commonly used synonymously, the primary functions of mobile phones and cell phones twenty years ago were calls and SMS messages<sup>1</sup>.

Smartphones have become a big part of our lives because of all of their benefits, which include easy access to information, social networking, business applications, convenience of use, mobility, size, and so on. Additionally, cellphones are becoming essential in the medical area as both patients and medical professionals work to advance patient well-being. But in recent years, there has also been a rise in worry about the drawbacks of smartphone use<sup>2</sup>.

Before smartphones became widely used, a Saudi Arabian study connected using a phone with a number of health risks, including headaches, sleep disruptions, tension, weariness, and dizziness<sup>3</sup>. Later, a Saudi Arabian study revealed that the medical student participants blamed their usage of mobile phones for their headaches, poor focus, memory loss, hearing loss, and exhaustion. Also, because users gaze at the screen for considerably longer than they do with regular mobile phones, smartphones may have negative health impacts, particularly on the eyes<sup>4</sup>. According to recent reports, the usage of a smartphone most adversely affects the eye. However, there is a dearth of information in the medical literature about how smartphones affect eves. Visual problems are a widespread issue that affect people of all ages and have a significant impact on the financial and economic health of nations. The most prevalent ocular illnesses brought on by excessive smartphone and computer use, particularly in young adults who are regarded as the most frequent users of these gadgets, are dry eye, diplopia and digital eye strain syndrome<sup>5</sup>.

Digital eye strain syndrome, also referred to as computer vision syndrome (CVS), is a transient condition caused by using smart devices for longer than two hours. Symptoms include eyestrain, tired eyes, irritation, redness, blurred vision, and double vision. The impact of digital eye strain on visual comfort and work productivity is significant. About 90%<sup>6</sup> of people reported having visual symptoms such as headache, ocular discomfort, diplopia, blurred vision, and dry eyes. The study's objective is to evaluate the relationship between digital eye strain syndrome and smartphone addiction among Riyadh's private university students. Computer vision syndrome, is a transient symptom that includes fatigue and eyestrain.

# Methodology

#### Study design

The researcher used a cross-sectional study design to assess the association between smart phones addiction and digital eye strain syndrome among private universities students in Riyadh.

#### **Participants**

The study was conducted in private universities in capital city of Saudi Arabia, Riyadh. A target population was medical and non-medical females and males private universities students. Participants from universities, collages who were above 18 years and above, using smart phones regularly with no history of blindness or vision loss, mental and physical disabilities were included in the study.

#### Sampling procedure

The sample type was simple random technique. The sample size were 500 universities students including both females and males, a minimum sample size was 384 according to the formula  $n = (z^2 (p) (1-p))/c^2$  where

z = standard normal deviation set at 95% confidence level (1.96)

p = percentage picking a choice or response (p = 0.50, 1 - p = 0.50)

c = confidence interval (0.05)

For more precise results we increased the sample size to 500

#### Instruments

This study used a validated questionnaire as tool for collecting the data. The data were collected from study subjects by trained students using online and direct methods. A questionnaire was deployed to respondents who agreed to participate in the research and signed the consent form.

The questionnaire consists of three parts:

First part: It is a cover letter to greet the participants in the study, and to clarify the purpose and objective of the study, and that the information collected from the study has its privacy and is dealt with in strict confidence, and they have the right to participate in the study or refuse to participate.

Second part: These are demographic data to know the age, gender, marital status and other information about educational characteristics and smart devise usage.

Third part: This part contains Arabic version of the problematic use of mobile phones (PUMP) scale. The PUMP scale is a 20-item questionnaire that assesses mobile phone use based on the DSM-5 criteria for substance use disorder. In addition to, Digital eye strain report: convergence insufficiency symptoms questionnaire.

#### **Ethical consideration**

Consent was taken from the participants; all the information were used for statistical analysis only for more confidently.

#### **Data collection**

The questionnaire was distributed to students manually and online. Time period for study was 8 months from January to August 2019. The researcher eliminated all invalid, incomplete responses, or any responses that did not match the inclusion criteria. The number of valid questionnaires for statistical analysis was 527.

#### **Statistical treatment**

The statistical program SPSS-20 was used to analyze the data of the study. The data was entered and analyzed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 25.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp. Normality of PUMP score was checked through one-sample Kolmogorov – Smirnov test. As the data was normally distributed, therefore, mean + SD has been reported. Two-Independent Sample t test was used to compare the PUMP score and computer vision syndrome. One-Way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was also applied to compare PUMP score with variables having three categories and more. Post-HOC Tukey Test was applied to see which group means differ significantly. A p-value of <0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

# Results

 Table I: Socio-demographic characteristics of participants (n=527).

	n (%)
Age (years)	
18-25	465 (88.2)
26-33	43 (8.2)
34-41	13 (2.5)
42-49	3 (0.6)
50-55	3 (0.6)
Gender	
Male	100 (19.0)
Female	427 (81.0)
Marital Status	
Single	449 (85.2)
Married	73 (13.9)
Divorced	3 (0.6)
Widow / widowed	2 (0.4)

The age group of 18 to 25 years old comprised the majority of participants (n = 465, 88.2%), followed by the age group of 26 to 33 years old (n = 43, 8.2%). A small percentage of respondents (n=19, or 3.7% of the total) were in the 34-55 age range. Women made up the majority of participants, n=427 (81%) versus men, n=100 (19%). Of the participants, n=449 (85.2%) were single, 14% were married, and a smaller proportion were widowed and divorced.

The majority of the participants 240 (45.5%) were studying at Dar Al Uloom University (DAU), followed by 101 (19.2%) at Al Maarefa University (AMU), 60 (11.4%)

Table II: Educational characteristics and smart devise usage of participants (n=527).

	n (%)		n (%)
University DAU AMU PSU IMC KFU REU FC Others YU GC AQU	240 (45.5) 101 (19.2) 60 (11.4) 34 (6.5) 33 (6.3) 31 (5.9) 12 (2.3) 5 (0.9) 4 (0.8) 4 (0.8) 3 (0.6)	Academic Level 1st – 2nd 3rd – 4th 5th – 6th 7th – 8th 9th – 10th 11th – 12th 13th – 14th Master Ph.D.	97 (18.4) 96 (18.2) 62 (11.8) 70 (13.3) 66 (12.5) 47 (8.9) 76 (14.4) 10 (1.9) 3 (0.6)
Academic Performance Excellent Very Good Good Poor	146 (27.7) 261 (49.5) 105 (19.9) 15 (2.8)	Smart Device Mobile phone Laptop iPad Video game Desktop computer	485 (92.0) 25 (4.7) 9 (1.7) 5 (0.9) 3 (0.6)
Hours Spending (hours) 1-mar 4-jun 7 and above	73 (13.9) 217 (41.2) 237 (45.0)	Specialty Non-medical Medical	189 (35.9) 338 (64.1)

at Prince Sultan University (PSU), and nearly 6% at Riyadh Elm University (REU), King Faisal University (KFU), and Inaya Medical College (IMC). Participants came from Al Farabi College (FC), Al Yamamah University (YU), Al Ghad Colleges (GC), Arab Open University (AOU), and other institutions. Academic performance of the majority of participants was very good (n=261 (49.5%), with approximately 28% achieving excellent performance, one-fifth achieving good academic performance, and only n=15 (2.8%) achieving poor academic performance. According to hours spent, 45% of participants used smart devices for 7 hours or more, followed by 217 (41.2%) who used them between 4-6 hours, and only 14% used them between 1-3 hours. The distribution of participants studying in grades 1<sup>st</sup>-2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup>-4<sup>th</sup> was nearly equal (18%). Around 15% were in their 13<sup>th</sup>-14<sup>th</sup> year, followed by the 7<sup>th</sup>-8<sup>th</sup> (13.3%), 9th-10th (12.5%), 9% in their 11th-12th year, 2% in their master's, and a small number in their Ph.D. Participants 485 (92% used a smartphone), followed by a laptop 25 (4.7%), an iPad 9 (1.7%), and less than 1% used a desktop computer or video games.

When computer vision syndrome was studied, n=316 (60%) of the participants reported headaches, complain of eye strain was stated by n=56 (10.6%), nearly one-fifth of the participants had blurred near and distant vision after using the smart devices. Around 15% of the participants reported glare, watery eyes n=27 (5.1%), itching n=95 (18%), red eyes n=413 (78.4%), back pain n=155 (29.4%), neck shoulder pain n=306 (58.1%), double vision n=47 (8.9%), dry eyes n=198 (37.6%) and burning was reported by n=193 (36.6%) of the participants. Almost 60% of the participants were using screens at lower level, more than one-quarter were using screens at the same level and around 6% were using the screens above the recommended level.

Variables	n (%)		n (%)
Headaches Yes No	316 (60.0) 211 (40.0)	Red Eyes Yes No	413 (78.4) 114 (21.6)
Eye Strain Yes No	56 (10.6) 471 (89.4)	Back Pain Yes No	155 (29.4) 372 (70.6)
Blurred Near Vision Yes No	104 (19.7) 423 (80.3)	Neck Shoulder Pain Yes No	306 (58.1) 221 (41.9)
Blurred Distant Vision Yes No	88 (16.7) 439 (83.3)	Double Vision Yes No	47 (8.9) 480 (91.1)
Glare Yes No	74 (14.0) 453 (86.0)	Dry Yes No	198 (37.6) 329 (62.4)
Watery Eyes Yes No	27 (5.1) 500 (94.9)	Burning Yes No	193 (36.6) 334 (64.4)
Itching Yes No	95 (18.0) 423 (82.0)	Center Screen Lower Same Level Above	310 (58.8) 185 (35.1) 32 (6.1)

Table III: Computer Vision Syndrome (n=527).

Table V: Comparison of PUMP score with socio-demographic data.

	n	Mean + S.D	p-value
Age			
18-25	465	58.65 + 14.77	0.772
26-33	43	57.74 + 19.10	
34-41	13	54.15 + 22.03	
42-49	3	53.67 + 21.07	
50-55	3	63.67 + 28.02	
Marital Status			
Single	449	58.79 + 14.82	0.086
Married	73	55.88 + 18.75	
Divorced	3	76.67 + 5.85	
Widow / Widowed	2	54.00 + 2.82	
Gender			
Male	100	64.95 + 14.64	< 0.001*
Female	427	56.95 + 15.24	

Table VI: Comparison of PUMP score with academic data and computer usage.

A significant difference was observed between the problematic use of mobile phones (PUMP) Score and computer vision syndrome. Results presented in table I showed that the PUMP score was significantly raised in participants who had headaches (p<0.001), eye strain (p=0.047), blurred near and distant vision (p<0.001) respectively, dry eyes (p=0.012), watery eyes (p=0.016), burning (p<0.001), itching (p<0.001), red eyes (p<0.001), back pain (p<0.001), neck & shoulder pain (p<0.001) and having double vision (p<0.001). However, PUMP score and glare was not statistically significant (p=0.078).

Results presented in table II showed that PUMP score was not significantly different when compared with age (p=0.072) and marital status (p=0.086). However, it was significant when compared between males and females (p<0.001). PUMP score of males was significantly higher as compared to females.

Table IV: Comparison of PUMP score with Computer Vision Syndrome.

	PUMP	SCORE	
	No Mean + SD	Yes Mean + SD	p-value
Headache	55.42 + 15.89	60.50 + 14.80	< 0.001*
Eye Strain	58.02 + 15.43	62.21 + 15.12	0.047*
Blurred Near Vision	57.27 + 15.26	63.36 + 15.23	< 0.001*
Blurred Distant Vision	56.96 + 15.08	66.01 + 15.05	< 0.001*
Glare	57.99 + 15.25	61.41 + 16.28	0.078
Dry Eyes	57.16 + 15.51	60.64 + 15.10	0.012
Watery Eyes	58.09 + 15.21	65.41 + 18.08	0.016
Burning	56.68 + 15.50	61.57 + 14.86	< 0.001*
Itching	57.06 + 15.02	64.87 + 15.76	< 0.001*
Red Eyes	57.14 + 15.30	63.27 + 15.03	< 0.001*
Back Pain	56.81 + 15.16	62.45 + 15.41	< 0.001*
Neck & Shoulder Pain	54.61 + 15.57	61.25 + 14.75	< 0.001*
Double Vision	57.46 + 14.95	68.77 + 16.70	< 0.001*

\*statistically significant at 5% level of significance

	n	Mean + S.D	p-value		n	Mean + S.D	p-value
University				Academic Performance			
DAU AMU REU PSU KFU	240 101 31 60 33	56.21 + 15.39 60.57 + 13.42 57.45 + 16.33 61.40 + 13.74 59.42 + 18.50	0.563	Excellent Very Good Good Poor	146 261 105 15	54.35 + 14.24** 58.97 + 15.26 62.70 + 15.54** 60.33 + 20.33**	< 0.001*
IMC YU GC AOU FC Others	34 4 3 12 5	$56.35 + 12.82 \\62.00 + 10.98 \\72.25 + 33.51 \\58.67 + 35.92 \\70.25 + 14.07 \\61.40 + 21.19$		Specialty Medical Non-Medical	338 189	59.41 + 15.17 56.79 + 15.80	0.680
Year 1 <sup>st</sup> - 2 <sup>nd</sup> 3 <sup>rd</sup> - 4 <sup>th</sup> 5 <sup>th</sup> - 6 <sup>th</sup> 7 <sup>th</sup> - 8 <sup>th</sup>	97 96 62 70	58.28 + 15.42 56.09 + 16.00 61.37 + 16.41** 59.44 + 13.32**		Center Screen Lower Same Level Above	310 185 32	59.74 + 15.27** 55.90 + 15.72** 61.06 + 13.73	0.017*
9 <sup>th</sup> - 10 <sup>th</sup> 11 <sup>th</sup> - 12 <sup>th</sup> 13 <sup>th</sup> - 14 <sup>th</sup> Master Ph.D.	66 47 76 10 3	57.86 + 15.61 57.02 + 13.13 60.62 + 15.56 61.10 + 19.88 $30.67 + 2.309^{**}$	0.031*	Hours Spending 1-mar 4-jun 7 and above	73 217 237	52.99 + 16.61 55.38 + 13.84 62.92 + 15.28**	< 0.001*

\*statistically significant at 5% level of significance. \*\*Tukey's test significant multiple comparisons.

A significant difference was observed in PUMP score when compared with year of study (p=0.031). Post-Hoc analysis showed that those having Ph.D. had a significant lower PUMP score as compared to those studying in 5th-6th grade (p=0.021) and 7th-8th grade (p=0.040). PUMP score was significantly different in academic performance (p<0.001). Post-Hoc analysis showed that participants having excellent academic performance had a significantly lower PUMP score as compared to participants having good (p<0.001) and very good (0.018) academic performance. PUMP score was also significantly different among participants who were using the computer screen at different levels (p=0.017). Post-Hoc analysis revealed that participants who were using same level had a significantly lower PUMP score when compared with lower level (p=0.020). Hours spending and PUMP score were also statistically significantly different (p<0.001). Post-Hoc analysis showed that participants who were spending 7 hours and above had a significantly higher PUMP score as compared to those who were spending 4-6 hours (0.021) and 1-3 hours (0.032).

## **Discussion**

Smartphone use can have a negative impact on eye health. This study looked at the prevalence and pattern of smart phone addiction and digital eye strain syndrome among Riyadh students attending private universities. The survey was completed by 527 medical and non-medical students who participated in the study. Almost two-quarters of the participants were medical students, while one-quarter were non-medical students. Females made up the majority of the participants, accounting for 427 (81%) of the total, with males accounting for 100 (19%). This study discovered that 45% of participants used smart devices for 7 hours or more, followed by n=217 (41.2%) who used them between 4-6 hours, and only 14% who used them between 1-3 hours.

According to these findings, smartphone addiction affects between 9.3% and 48% of the global population<sup>7</sup>. In contrast to our findings, a study of 2367 respondents in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia discovered that the majority (61%) of study participants reported using their smartphones for at least 5 hours per day, with 27.2% using them for more than 8 hours per day<sup>7</sup>. Another cross-sectional study with 2435 participants was conducted in Saudi Arabia using an online Google survey form. A cross-sectional survey of 203 sixth-year medical students at King Abdul-Aziz University's School of Medicine in Jeddah discovered that 66 (36.5%) of them were addicted to smartphones<sup>8</sup>. According to a mixed-method study that used a systematic review and meta-analysis, India has a 39-44% smartphone addiction rate<sup>9</sup>. A second investigation was carried out on a sample of 587 pupils from the discredited school. The smartphone addiction scale (SAS), which was used to assess student behavior, revealed that 53.62 percent

of students were low smartphone users and 33.33 percent were heavy users<sup>10</sup>.

Regarding to visual disturbances accompanying smartphone use, it was found that eye strain, glare, watery eyes, itching, red eyes, double vision, dry eyes, burning were more prevalent in smartphone users especially on spending long duration using the devices. In addition, other common health problems among smartphone users include headache, back pain, and neck shoulder pain.

Similarly, some research looked into the effects of electronic gadgets generally on Saudi Arabian female nursing college students in terms of their reliance, sociability, and health. This cross-sectional study found that headache and visual disturbance were the most common complaint among the students who were using visual materials<sup>11</sup>. Other Studies have looked at several health issues related to smartphone use in Indian college students. Student participants in the study ranged in age from 17 to 23. Headache, difficulty concentrating, impatience, anxiety, loss of sleep, tiredness, eye strain, and fatigue were the main symptoms that were assessed. The most prevalent symptom, experienced by 50% of the respondents surveyed, was a headache; visual symptoms were ranked sixth<sup>12</sup>. In a study with 576 teenagers conducted in India, there was a significant relationship between lying down while using a screenenabled device and symptoms of digital eye strain. The majority of students had eye fatigue by the end of the day, which was caused by using digital screens<sup>13</sup>. In a survey of 409 medical college students in Jamaica, eye strain (67%), dry eyes (26.2%), double vision (28.9%), and blurred vision (51.6%) were identified as prevalent symptoms<sup>14</sup>. Research conducted in South Korea revealed that exposure to content on any digital screen, particularly a smartphone, increases the chance of developing DES in teenagers<sup>15</sup>. In general, smartphone addiction could cause significant digital eye strain Syndrome and other eye problems as a result of looking and exposure to electronic screen lighting for long hours.

# Conclusion

In conclusion, the use of smartphone has expanded among college students. This can be related to the striking changes in student lifestyles in Saudi Arabia. The current study found that smartphones are a significant part of university students' daily lives. There was a direct correlation between smartphone use and the digital eye strain syndrome. This study made clear the necessity to raise awareness of the harmful effects that prolonged smartphone use has on our health.

#### **Conflict of interests**

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

Association between Smart Phones Addiction and Digital Eye strain Syndrome among Private Universities Students in Riyadh

## References

1. Bali J, Neeraj N, Bali RT. Computer vision syndrome: A review. Journal of clinical ophthalmology and research. 2014;2(1):61-8. https://doi.org/10.4103/2320-3897.122661.

2. Rosenfield M, Portello JK. Computer vision syndrome and blink rate. Current Eye Research. 2016;41(4):577-8. https://doi.org/10.3109/02 713683.2015.1031352.

3. Al-Khlaiwi T, Meo SA. Association of mobile phone radiation with fatigue, headache, dizziness, tension and sleep disturbance in Saudi population. Saudi medical journal. 2004;25(6):732-6.

4. Sadagopan AP, Manivel R, Marimuthu A, Nagaraj H, Ratnam K, Kumar T. Prevalence of smart phone users at risk for developing cell phone vision syndrome among college students. Journal of Psychology & Psychotherapy. 2017;7(299):487–2161. https://doi. org/10.4172/2161-0487.1000299.

5 Bert F, Giacometti M, Gualano MR, Siliquini R. Smartphones and health promotion: a review of the evidence. Journal of medical systems. 2014;38:1-1. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10916-013-9995-7.

6.SheppardAL,WolffsohnJS.Digitaleyestrain:prevalence,measurement and amelioration. BMJ open ophthalmology. 2018;3(1):e000146. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjophth-2018-000146.

7. Yahyazadeh S, Fallahi-Khoshknab M, Norouzi K, Dalvandi A. The prevalence of smart phone addiction among students in medical sciences universities in Tehran 2016. Advances in Nursing & Midwifery. 2017;26(94):1-10.

8. Alhazmi AA, Alzahrani SH, Baig M, Salawati EM. Prevalence and factors associated with smartphone addiction among medical students at King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah. Pakistan journal of medical sciences. 2018;34(4):984. https://doi.org/10.12669/ pjms.344.15294. 9. Davey S, Davey A. Assessment of smartphone addiction in Indian adolescents: A mixed method study by systematic-review and meta-analysis approach. International journal of preventive medicine. 2014;5(12):1500.

10. Soni R, Upadhyay R, Jain M. Prevalence of smart phone addiction, sleep quality and associated behaviour problems in adolescents. International Journal of Research in Medical Sciences. 2017;5(2):515-9. http://dx.doi.org/10.18203/2320-6012.ijrms20170142.

11. El Kiweri IA, Al Ghamdi NA. Electronic devices: Content use and health effects in Saudi female nursing students. International Journal of Nursing and Health Science. 2015;2(3):21-7.

12. Acharya JP. Common health effects of cell phones among college students. Journal of Community Medicine and Health Education. 2013;3(1):1-4.

13. Ichhpujani P, Singh RB, Foulsham W, Thakur S, Lamba AS. Visual implications of digital device usage in school children: a cross-sectional study. BMC ophthalmology. 2019;19:1-8. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12886-019-1082-5.

14. Mowatt L, Gordon C, Santosh AB, Jones T. Computer vision syndrome and ergonomic practices among undergraduate university students. International journal of clinical practice. 2018;72(1):e13035. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcp.13035.

15. Kim J, Hwang Y, Kang S, Kim M, Kim TS, Kim J, et al. Association between exposure to smartphones and ocular health in adolescents. Ophthalmic epidemiology. 2016;23(4):269-76. https://doi.org/10.310 9/09286586.2015.1136652.