Common spiny lobster (*Palinurus elephas* Fabricius 1787) fisheries in the western Mediterranean: A comparison of Spanish and Tunisian fisheries

Antoni QUETGLAS, Adel GAAMOUR, Olga REÑONES, Hechmi MISSAOUI, Tijani ZARROUK, Amor ELABED and Raquel GOÑI

SHNB

SOCIETAT D'HISTÒRIA NATURAL DE LES BALEARS

Quetglas, A., Gaamour, A., Reñones, O., Missaoui, H., Zarrouk, T., Elabed, A. and Goñi, R. 2004. Common spiny lobster (*Palinurus elephas* Fabricius 1787) fisheries in the western Mediterranean: A comparison of Spanish and Tunisian fisheries. *Boll. Soc. Hist. Nat. Balears*, 47: 63-80. ISSN 0212-260X. Palma de Mallorca.

The present work compares trammel net fisheries for the common spiny lobster *Palinurus elephas* by Spanish and Tunisian fleets, both situated in the Western Mediterranean. Fleet characteristics, catch structure, yields, landings and by-catch and discarding practices are examined. The lobster catch was divided into three categories: commercial (individuals over the legal size), undersized (specimens under the legal size that were returned alive to the water) and 'rotten' (individuals killed by predation or stress when entangled in the net). Fleets from both countries are composed of artisanal boats with technical characteristics which reflect the distance from their homeports to the fishing grounds. The fleets fish over the same type of habitats, since the majority of the hauls were performed at 75–80 m depth over 'maërl' beds. Exploited populations off Tunisia have a greater proportion of large lobsters than populations in the Spanish fishing grounds. However, the higher proportion of fishing set containing lobster catch, together with the higher catch rates in the Spanish fisheries, are indicative of greater lobster density in the Spanish grounds. The seasonal evolution of lobster yields showed opposite trends in the two fisheries studied, decreasing in Spain but increasing in Tunisia as the season progressed. Although the proportion of commercial to total lobster was not significantly different between areas, the proportion of 'rotten' and undersized categories differed. While individuals under the legal landing size were more frequent in Spanish than in Tunisian catches, the 'rotten' fraction were more common in Tunisia than in Spain. Tunisian total annual landings showed an abrupt increase from 1990 to 1993, but decreased afterwards. Catches from the Spanish Columbretes Islands peaked in 1991–1992, after which they showed a downward trend that fluctuated considerably from year to year. A total of 48 and 28 different by-catch species were caught in the Spanish and Tunisian fisheries respectively. The discarded fraction of the by-catch and the discard practices were similar in both fisheries, where the rejected fraction included: 1) damaged and undersized commercial species; 2) species without commercial value; and 3) commercial species which are targeted by other fisheries but are not of interest owing to the low quantities of individuals captured.

Keywords: Palinurus elephas, *trammel nets, artisanal fishery, Mediterranean Sea.*

PESQUERIES DE LLAGOSTA ROJA (*Palinurus elephas* FABRICIUS 1787) AL MEDITERRANI OCCIDENTAL: COMPARACIÓ DE LES PESQUERIES ESPANYOLES I TUNISENQUES. Al present treball es comparen les pesqueries de llagosta roja

Palinurus elephas amb tremall que duen a terme les flotes espanyola i tunisenca, ambdues al Mediterrani Occidental. S'han estudiat les característiques de la flota, els rendiments obtinguts i l'estructura poblacional de les captures, així com el *by-catch* i el rebuig d'aquest tipus de pesca. La captura de llagosta s'ha dividit en tres categories: comercial (individus de talla legal), no-comercial (individus que encara no han assolit la talla legal de captura i es llencen vius al mar) i morts (individus morts per predació o stress mentre romanien enmallats). Les flotes dels dos països està composta per embarcacions artesanals, les característiques tècniques de les quals reflexen la distància que separa els ports base de les àrees de pesca. Les flotes treballen al mateix tipus d'hàbitat, donat que la majoria de pesques es duen a terme a fons de 'maërl' d'entre 75 i 80 m de profunditat. Les poblacions de llagosta explotades per les embarcacions de Tunísia contenen una major proporció d'individus de talla gran que les espanyoles. De totes maneres, el menor nombre de pesques en les quals no es capturà cap llagosta, juntament amb una major taxa de captura a la flota espanyola són indicatives d'una major densitat poblacional. Els rendiments mostraren tendències oposades a les dues àrees donat que, a mesura que avançà l'època de pesca, disminuïren a Espanya però s'incrementaren a Tunísia. Encara que la proporció de llagostes comercials respecte al total capturat no mostrà diferències significatives a les dues àrees, la proporció de no-comercials i mortes fou different. Mentre que les de talla no legal eren més freqüents a Espanya, la fracció de mortes fou superior a Tunísia. Els desembarcaments anuals a Tunísia mostraren un gran increment des de 1990 a 1993, després del qual disminuïren progressivament. Després d'un clar pic els anys 1991–1992, les captures espanyoles a l'àrea de les Illes Columbretes han mostrat una marcada tendència descendent encara que fluctuant d'any en any. Es varen capturar un total de 48 i 28 espècies diferents de *by-catch* a les pesqueries espanyola i tunisenca, respectivament. El rebuig del *by-catch* fou similar a ambdues àrees, tant qualitativament com quantitativament, donat que es composà de: 1) individus de talla no comercial o en prou mal estat com per no ser aptes pel consum; 2) espècies sense interès comercial; i 3) espècies comercials, objectiu d'altres pesqueries, però sense interès en aquest cas donada la baixa quantitat capturada.

Paraules clau: Palinurus elephas, *tremall, pesqueria artesanal, Mar Mediterrani.*

Antoni QUETGLAS, Olga REÑONES and Raquel GOÑI; IEO, Centre Oceanogràfic de Balears, Apt. 291, E-07015 Palma de Mallorca, Spain; Amor ELABED and Adel GAAMOUR; INSTM, 28 Rue de 2 Mars 1934, 2034 Salambô, Tunisia; Hechmi MISSAOUI; INAT, 43 Av. Charles Nicolle, 1082 Tunisia; Tijani Zarrouk Arrondissement des Pêches de Bizerte, Port de Pêche de Bizerte, Tunisia. Corresponding author: A. Quetglas, e-mail: toni.quetglas@ba.ieo.es; fax: 34 971 40 49 45

Recepció del manuscrit: 27-jul-04; revisió acceptada: 30-des-04.

Introduction

The common spiny lobster *Palinurus elephas* is a large, benthic decapod crustacean from temperate waters occurring in the Atlantic from the Hebrides to the northwest African coast, and in the Mediterranean Sea from the western and central basins to the coasts of Greece, the Aegean Sea and Libya (Gamulin, 1955; Moraitopoulou-Kassimati, 1973; Ceccaldi & Latrouite, 1994). In the Mediterranean *P. elephas* is now generally most abundant around islands that have suitable rocky substrates because their relative isolation has provided refuge to exploited populations. In recent decades the most productive Mediterranean lobster fisheries appear to occur

around islands in the Eastern Adriatic (Soldo *et al.*, 2001), Corsica (Campillo, 1982; Marin, 1987), Sardinia (Secci *et al.*, 1995; 1999), Sicily (Gristina, 2002), the Balearics (Iglesias et al., 1994), and off northern Tunisia (Zarrouk, 2000).

In the western Mediterranean *P. elephas* commands high prices and its fisheries have great socio-economic importance, supporting a large number of small-scale artisanal vessels. In the past lobster was caught using baited traps, but now this gear has practically disappeared to be replaced almost exclusively by trammel nets (Goñi *et al.*, 2003a). With the replacement of traps by trammel nets, fishing effort on *P. elephas* has increased fuelled by the growing tourist market around the Mediterranean coast and its high unit price (40–50 euros·kg^{-1} first sale). The low resilience of the species –it reaches sexual maturity at 3–4 years and lives up to 15 years (Marin, 1985) or perhaps more (Goñi *et al.*, unpublished data)– along with the intense exploitation to which it has been submitted for decades, have led to overfishing of most Mediterranean populations (Petrosino *et al.*, 1985; Marin, 1985; Latrouite & Noel, 1997; Soldo *et al.*, 2001; Goñi and Latrouite, in press).

A variety of regulations are used to manage *P. elephas* fisheries in the Western Mediterranean: 1) fishing season varies among countries and even among regions, but in most cases the fisheries are closed during the breeding period; 2) there is a minimum landing size of 240 mm of total length (~80 mm of carapace length), which coincides with the size at first maturity; 3) it is not permitted to catch berried females. The mesh size and the total length of trammel nets per boat may also be regulated.

Despite the economic importance of *P. elephas* in the Mediterranean, the species has not been well studied. Most work cover its biology (Gamulin, 1955; Campillo & Amadei, 1978; Campillo, 1982; Marin, 1985, 1987; Goñi *et al.*, 2001a, 2001b, 2003b), while the fishery aspects have scarcely been analysed (Secci *et al.*, 1995, 1999; Soldo *et al.*, 2001; Goñi *et al.*, 2003a) or are outdated (Santucci, 1926, 1928; Campillo, 1982; Marin, 1987). This study constitutes a first attempt to examine current *P. elephas* fisheries in the western Mediterranean by presenting updated information from the most productive Spanish (around the Balearic and Columbretes Islands) and Tunisian (northern coast especially, around La Galite Islands and the Esquerquis Bank) fisheries. The purpose of the study is to estimate and compare yields, exploitation patterns, and by-catch and discarding practices in the two fisheries. Because lobster yields have dwindled, by-catch species are increasingly relied upon to maintain the viability of these fisheries. Historical lobster landings are also reconstructed to the extent possible in an attempt to assess the current status of the populations.

Material and methods

a) Study areas and data collection

Two study areas are considered in this work: 1) the fishing grounds of islands in the Spanish Mediterranean (Balearics and Columbretes), and 2) the fishing grounds of islands in northern Tunisia (La Galite Islands and the Esquerquis Bank) (Fig. 1). The Columbretes and La Galite Islands are marine reserves where lobster fishing is forbidden but fisheries occur along the boundaries and adjacent fishing grounds. The fishing season slightly differs in the three areas: 1) Balearic Islands: April-August; 2) Columbretes Islands: March-August; and 3) Tunisia: from 1 March to 15 July (national waters) or to 15 September (international waters).

Data for this study come from two different sources. The first data set originates from a sampling programme undertaken on board commercial lobster boats in the Spanish and Tunisian fisheries. A total of 130 hauls (87 from Spain, 43 from Tunisia) were sampled in 2001 during May-August (in Spain) and May-October (Tunisia; data from October come from an experimental fishing survey). The following information was collected from each haul: date, depth, habitat type (information derived from the by-catch of benthic structure-forming species), position, net length and mesh size. Sex and size (carapace length –CL– and total length –TL–, in mm) of all lobsters caught were also noted. Species compo-



Fig. 1. Map of the western Mediterranean showing the main *Palinurus elephas* fishing grounds of the Spanish and Tunisian fisheries.

Fig. 1. *Mapa del Mediterrani occidental on es mostren les principals àrees de pesca de llagosta roja* Palinurus elephas *de la flota espanyola i tunisenca.*

sition (in number) and individual size (CL in crustaceans, TL in fishes and mantle length –ML– in cephalopods) of the non-lobster catch were also registered. The lobster catch was divided into three categories: commercial (individuals over the legal size), undersized (specimens under the legal size that were returned alive to the water) and "rotten' (individuals killed by predation or stress when entangled in the net). Due to the impossibility of taking precise individual weights on board commercial boats, length-weight (total weight, TW) relationships were calculated from data obtained in research surveys conducted in the Columbretes Islands during 1997 to 2000 and from Tunisian commercial lobster ponds.

Differences in lobster catch composition (N and W per haul) by categories (commercial, undersized, 'rotten') between the two areas where tested by the Student´s t-test. The percentage of each category in the lobster catch and the percentage of lobster in the catch (lobster and by-catch) were compared using the Student´s t-test after arcsine transformation (Zar, 1999).

The second data set comes from logbooks distributed among lobster fishermen in the two fisheries (Columbretes area in Spain and north of Tunisia). Logbook data cover the 2002 fishing season and fishermen noted the haul characteristics (as above) and the lobster catch (number and weight) for each fishing set. A total of 1149 hauls (468 from Spain, 681 from Tunisia) were registered.

b) Fleet characteristics and fishing grounds

Fleet size and characteristics (number of boats, gross tonnage –GT–, horse power –HP–, boat age and crew number) were obtained from official statistics. Fishing grounds (location, distance to the homeport, bathymetry, bottom type) and gear characteristics (length of nets, mesh size) were gathered during the onboard sampling trips and through interviews with fishermen.

c) Size and sex structure of lobster catches, yields and landings

The size structure of lobster catches and the morphometric relationships (CL–TW and CL–TL) were obtained by sex and area and compared using Kolmogorov-Smirnov and ANCOVA tests respectively.

Yields were calculated as number and weight of lobsters per standard trammel net set (500 m length approximately) and fishing day. Differences in mean lobster yields (total and by category) in the two fisheries were evaluated by t-tests. Spatial (study zones) and temporal (monthly) differences in yield (number and weight) were analysed by orthogonal analysis of variance (ANOVA), with zone and month as fixed factors. To attain a balanced design, the data from months that were coincident in the two fisheries studied (May, June, July and August) were selected. In order to have equal sampling effort for each month-fishery combination, 90 samples were randomly selected from each combination. Prior to the analysis, the assumption of homogeneity of variances was checked by the Cochran's test. This test indicated that variances were heterogeneous even after the $\ln(x+0.1)$ transformation and therefore one of the assumptions of the analysis was violated. However, ANOVA is robust to departures from this assumption, especially in the case of a balanced design with a large number of samples (Underwood, 1997). After ANOVA, mean yields were compared with the Student-Newman-Keuls and t- tests.

Finally, monthly lobster landings from 1990 to 2002 were collected from official Spanish and Tunisian fishery statistics.

d) By-catch and discards

During the onboard sampling on commercial lobster boats, the non-lobster catch (by-catch) was assigned to one of two categories: commercial by-catch (non-target commercial specimens that were landed) and discard (non-commercial and unprofitable commercial species that were returned to the sea). By-catch and discard rates were calculated as the mean number of each species caught per standard set and day. The discarded fractions (discard/total by-catch) in Spain and Tunisia were compared using the Student´s t-test after arcsine transformation (Zar, 1999).

Results

a) Fleets, gear characteristics and fishing grounds

Three different fleet types operate in the studied fisheries depending on the distance from the homeports to the fishing grounds (Table 1):

1-The Balearic Islands fleet: composed of over 250 artisanal boats operating from 16 ports. These boats are small, have low power and gross tonnage, and fish in grounds that lie 2–3 nm from their homeports. Such short distances allow boats to return to port daily to sell their catch. These boats are crewed by 1-3 fishermen. Nets are usually soaked for 2 days.

2-The Spanish mainland fleet fishing off the Columbretes Islands: This is a small fleet of about five boats based in ports on the east coast of the Iberian Peninsula. They fish grounds off the Columbretes Islands and adjacent areas that lie 30–40 nm from their homeports. Owing to such distances, the boats have technical characteristics intermediate between those of the Balearic and the Tunisian fleets and fishing trips last 2 or 3 days. The crew of these boats usually consist of 3–4 men. Although nets are usually soaked for 2 days, the mean soak time is raised to 4.5 days because at times nets remain at sea for long periods due to bad weather conditions.

3-The Tunisian fleet: The fleet is composed of 56 vessels based in two ports. The vessels are larger and have greater power and tonnage than the Spanish ones, this being related to the long distances from the homeports to the fishing grounds (La Galite Islands: 40 nm, the Esquerquis Bank: 60 nm). As a consequence, the boats remain at sea for an average of 5–6 days per trip. The number of fishermen per boat (4–7) is also greater than in the Spanish fleet. Nets are normally soaked for 2 days.

In the Spanish fisheries boats return to port every day (Balearic Islands) or every other day (Columbretes Islands) and the nets are not taken ashore at the end of each fishing trip, remaining at sea through the season. Conversely, Tunisian fishing boats always take nets ashore at the end of each fishing trip (lasting several days) thus avoiding nets remaining at sea in bad weather.

Trammel nets were used to catch lobsters in all the fishing operations studied. Trammel nets are made of three rectangular nets, two outer, large-mesh panels and one inner, smaller mesh panel. The mean size of the mesh in the inner panel was 70 mm in Spain and 75 mm in Tunisia (table 1). Net pieces of about 50 m were combined to make gangs averaging 650 and 725 m in length respectively.

Both fisheries take place in the bathymetric range of 20 to 170 m and at a mean depth of

	Spain		Tunisia
	Balearic Islands	Columbretes Islands	Galite Islands & Esquerquis Bank
Number of ports	16	4	2
Number of boats	257	5	56
Gross tonnage (GT)	2.0 ± 0.1 (0.2–11.2)	10.7 ± 3.4 (7.6–15.1)	18.9 ± 1.3 (6.8–47.0)
Horse power (HP)	37.5 ± 1.5 (3–261)	119.0 ± 35.1 (85–170)	149.4 ± 11.3 (45–330)
Boat length	7.2 ± 0.1 (3.2–12.8)	12.9 ± 1.3 (11.2–14.6)	14.0 ± 0.3 (10.6–18.8)
Boat age	34.8 ± 1.0 (1–98)	16.8 ± 14.1 (3–37)	13.4 ± 0.8 (2–28)
N° fishermen·boat^{-1}	2.0 ± 0.0 (1–3)	3.2 ± 0.0 (3–4)	6.1 ± 0.1 (4–7)
Soak time (days)	2.3 ± 0.0 (2–5)	4.5 ± 0.1 (2–14)	2.5 ± 0.9 (2–10)
Net length (m)	662 ± 7.1 (450–1250)	726 ± 11.1 (350–1900)	653 ± 41.2 (300–850)
Mesh size (mm)	71 ± 0.5 (40–100)	69 ± 0.6 (40–80)	75 ± 0.0 (70–80)
Depth (m)	74.3 ± 1.3 (15.0–170.0)	79.3 ± 0.9 (26.0–172.0)	78.1 ± 12.4 (23.0–185.0)

Table 1. Characteristics of the fleets and fishing operations in the Spanish Mediterranean and Tunisian *Palinurus elephas* fisheries studied. Values are the mean, standard error and ranges.
Taula 1. *Característiques de les flotes i operacions de pesca a les pesqueries artesanals de llagosta roja* Palinurus elephas *de la Mediterrània espanyola i Tunísia. Els valors mostrats són la mitja, l'error estàndar i el rang (mínim i màxim).*

75–80 m. The onboard analysis of the benthic by-catch entangled in the nets indicated that lobster were caught over similar grounds in both study areas, which consisted predominantly of 'maërl' beds (free living coralline algae and associated zoobenthos) often associated with the brown algae *Laminaria rodriguezii*.

b) The target species: the common spiny lobster

A total of 749 (408.4 kg) and 172 (161.3 kg) lobsters were caught and examined in the 87 and 43 fishing sets sampled onboard Spanish and Tunisian lobster vessels, respectively (table 2). In both areas the species represented 41–48% of the total catch in both number and weight. However, lobster was more frequent in Spanish (it appeared in 94.2% of the hauls) than in Tunisian (72.1%) fishing sets. The mean number of commercial lobsters per haul was significantly higher in the Spanish (5.9) than in the Tunisian (2.8) fishery, but no significant difference was found when the weight of the catch was considered. The proportion of commercial lobsters in the catch was similar in the two fisheries, ranging from 70–80% (number and weight). The proportion of 'rotten' lobsters lost due to predation or other causes was significantly higher in Tunisian (23–24%) than in Spanish (7–7.5%) fisheries (number and weight). Conversely, undersized lobsters were more frequent in Spanish (11–21%) than in Tunisian (3.5–6%) catches.

b.1-Size and sex structure of the lobster catch

The modal size of lobster caught in the Tunisian fishery (110 mm CL) was larger than in the Spanish fishery (90 mm CL) (Fig. 2).

		Spain	Tunisia	Student's t-test
Total	F	94.2	72.1	
	N	749	172	
	W	408431.9	161312.9	
	$\%N_{total\ catch}$	47.8 ± 2.50	41.4 ± 5.34	NS (p=0.230)
	$\%W_{total\ catch}$	41.3 ± 2.63	47.4 ± 5.80	NS (p=0.277)
Commercial catch	$N{\cdot}haul^{-1}$	5.9 ± 0.67	2.8 ± 0.49	**(p=0.003)
	$W{\cdot}haul^{-1}$	3873.7 ± 422.9	2846.6 ± 625.7	NS (p=0.149)
	$\%N_{lobster\ catch}$	70.9 ± 2.87	69.7 ± 4.80	NS (p=0.649)
	$\%W_{lobster\ catch}$	81.4 ± 2.39	72.9 ± 4.95	NS (p=0.111)
Undersized	$N{\cdot}haul^{-1}$	2.0 ± 0.29	0.1 ± 0.05	**(p<0.001)
	$W{\cdot}haul^{-1}$	420.5 ± 65.4	26.6 ± 12.21	**(p<0.001)
	$\%N_{lobster\ catch}$	21.5 ± 2.47	6.1 ± 3.35	**(p<0.001)
	$\%W_{lobster\ catch}$	11.5 ± 1.81	3.8 ± 3.22	**(p=0.002)
'Rotten'	$N{\cdot}haul^{-1}$	0.8 ± 0.15	1.0 ± 0.19	NS (p=0.313)
	$W{\cdot}haul^{-1}$	400.4 ± 83.2	879.6 ± 209.2	**(p=0.006)
	$\%N_{lobster\ catch}$	7.5 ± 1.43	24.2 ± 4.29	**(p<0.001)
	$\%W_{lobster\ catch}$	7.2 ± 1.41	23.3 ± 4.42	**(p<0.001)

Table 2. Summary statistics of *Palinurus elephas* catches (total and by categories) from fishing sets sampled during May and August-October 2001 in Spanish (N=87 hauls) and Tunisian (N=43 hauls) fisheries. F: frequency of appearance (%); N, W: total number and weight (in g); %N, %W: percentage in number and in weight (± standard error) referred to the total catch or to the total lobster catch; $N{\cdot}haul^{-1}$, $W{\cdot}haul^{-1}$: number and weight per standard haul (± standard error). (* p<0.05; ** p<0.01; NS: not significant at α=0.05).

Taula 2. *Resum d'estadístiques de captura de* Palinurus elephas *(total i per categories) de mostres preses entre maig i agost-octubre del 2001 a pesqueries de tremall del Mediterrani espanyol (N=87 pesques) i de Tunísia (N=43 pesques). F: freqüència d'aparició (%); N, W: nombre i pes total (en g); %N, %W: percentatge en número i pes (± error estàndar) respecte al total de captura o al total de llagosta; $N{\cdot}haul^{-1}$, $W{\cdot}haul^{-1}$: número i pes per pesca estàndar (± error estàndar). (* p<0.05; ** p<0.01; NS: no significatiu a α=0.05).*



Fig. 2. *Palinurus elephas* size-frequency distributions (males, females, total) of the catches from the Spanish (black) and Tunisian (white) fisheries.
Fig. 2. *Distribucions de talla (mascles, femelles, total) de les captures de* Palinurus elephas *de les pesqueries de tremall espanyola (negre) i tunisenca (blanc).*

Lobsters caught by Tunisian vessels ranged from 60–180 mm CL for females and 60–200 mm CL for males, while in Spanish catches female and male lobster ranged from 30–150 and 30–170 mm CL respectively. The size structures of the female and male catch, as well as the size structure of the combined catch, were significantly different in the two study areas (KS test, $p<0.01$). Significant between-sex differences in size structure were only found in Tunisian catches (KS test, $p<0.01$).

The length-weight relationships of lobsters from the Spanish and Tunisian fishing grounds differed significantly for both males and females (table 3). These differences indicated that at a given size lobsters of either sex in the Tunisian

		Spain				Tunisia				Spain vs. Tunisia	
		F		M	F+M	F		M	F+M	F	M
CL–TW	a	0.0016	***	0.0012	0.0016	0.0069	***	0.0029	0.0046	***	***
	b	2.834	NS	2.882	2.825	2.486	NS	2.667	2.571	***	***
	r	0.991		0.997	0.993	0.968		0.966	0.968		
	N	442		370	812	65		75	140		
CL–TL	a	12.511	***	32.041	43.080	32.536	***	38.361	45.295	***	***
	b	2.878	***	2.507	2.490	2.481	***	2.344	2.312	***	***
	r	0.991		0.986	0.964	0.918		0.963	0.949		
	N	441		370	811	89		91	180		

Table 3. Carapace length-total weight (CL–TW) and carapace length-total length (CL–TL) relationships of *P. elephas* from Spanish and Tunisian exploited populations. Results of the ANCOVA tests are also shown. CL: carapace length, mm; TW: total weight, g; TL: total length, mm. (* $p<0.05$; ** $p<0.01$; NS: not significant at $\alpha=0.05$).
Taula 3. *Relacions entre la longitud de la closca i el pes total (CL–TW) i entre la longitud de la closca i la longitud total (CL–TL) de la llagosta roja* Palinurus elephas *de poblacions explotades per la flota artesanal al Mediterrani espanyol i a Tunísia. Es mostren també els resultants de l'ANCOVA. CL: longitud de la closca, mm; TW: pes total, g; TL: longitud total, mm. (* $p<0.05$; ** $p<0.01$; NS: no significatiu a $\alpha=0.05$).*

fishing grounds were heavier than in the Spanish grounds but that the increase in weight relative to the size was faster in the latter. When length-weight relationships were compared between sexes for each area separately, significant differences appeared only for the intercepts both in Spain and Tunisia, confirming that males are heavier than females of equal size.

Significant differences were found in the CL–TL relationships between sexes and between areas (table 3). In both areas females had larger abdomens than males of the same size and the difference increased with size. The data also indicate that Spanish populations had greater slopes than Tunisian ones, suggesting that abdomen size grows at a faster rate relative to the carapace in the Spanish grounds.

b.2-Lobster yields

Monthly lobster yields (number and weight) in the 2001 fishing season differed in the two areas studied, as indicated by the significant area-month interaction (tables 4 a,b). Therefore, spatial and temporal patterns were examined separately. Lobster yields differed between months in the two areas in both number (Spain: df=3, F=7.35, p=0.0001; Tunisia: df=3, F=7.88, p<0.0001) and weight (Spain: df=3, F=9.03, p<0.0001; Tunisia: df=3, F=6.05, p=0.0005).

Spanish yields in number and weight decreased throughout the fishing season (Fig. 3). From March to May mean yields were 7.5–9.0 lobsters/500 m (~5 kg/500 m), while from June to August yields ranged from 4.0–6.5 individuals/500 m (2.5–4.0 kg/500 m). Yields were sig-

Source of variation	SS	DF	MS	F-ratio	Probability
Zone	28.87	1	28.87	21.5	0.000
Month	6.88	3	2.29	1.71	0.160
Zone x Month	54.79	3	18.26	13.61	0.000
Residual	955.85	712	1.34		
Total	1046.39	719			

Table 4a. Results of ANOVA tests of factors Zone (Spain, Tunisia) and Month (May, June, July, August) on *Palinurus elephas* yields in number (Nlobster/500 m) in trammel net fisheries. Data were ln(x+0.1) transformed; transformations reduced but did not eliminate heterogeneous variances. Untransformed data: Cochran's Test=0.33, p<0.001; Transformed data: Cochran's Test=0.17, p=0.03.

Taula 4a. *Resultats de l'ANOVA entre els factors Zona (Espanya, Tunísia) i Mes (maig, juny, juliol, agost) dels rendiments en nombre de* Palinurus elephas *(Nlobster/500 m) a pesqueries de tremall. Les dades varen ser transformades logarítmicament ln(x+0.1); les transformacions reduïren, però no eliminaren l'heterogeneïtat en les variàncies. Dades sense transformar: Test de Cochran=0.33, p<0.001; Dades transformades: Test de Cochran=0.17, p=0.03.*

Source of variation	SS	DF	MS	F-ratio	Probability
Zone	34.90	1	34.90	26.24	0.000
Month	4.62	3	1.54	1.16	0.330
Zone x Month	52.41	3	17.47	13.13	0.000
Residual	947.01	712	1.33		
Total	1038.93	719			

Table 4b. Results of ANOVA tests of effects of Zone (Spain, Tunisia) and Month (May, June, July, August) on the *lobster* yields in weight (Wlobster/500 m) from trammel net artisanal fisheries. Data were ln(x+0.1) transformed; transformations reduced but did not eliminate heterogeneous variances. Untransformed data: Cochran's Test=0.30 p=0; Transformed data: Cochran's Test=0.18, p=0.009.

Taula 4b. *Resultats de l'ANOVA entre els factors Zona (Espanya, Tunísia) i Mes (maig, juny, juliol, agost) dels rendiments en pes de* Palinurus elephas *(Wlobster/500 m) a pesqueries de tremall. Les dades varen ser transformades logarítmicament ln(x+0.1); les transformacions reduïren, però no eliminaren l'heterogeneïtat en les variàncies. Dades sense transformar: Test de Cochran=0.30, p=0; Dades transformades: Test de Cochran=0.18, p=0.009.*

nificantly lower (in number and weight) at the end of the season (August).

Yields showed an opposite trend in the Tunisian fishery. Yields in number remained the same through May-June (3 lobsters/500 m), then increased significantly through the rest of the season to 5 and 7 lobsters/500m in July and August respectively (Fig. 3). Mean yields in weight were lowest in June (2 kg/500 m) and highest in August (4 kg/500 m) and showed a prominent drop between May and June, not observed in the catch in numbers.

Significant differences in yields between zones were found both in number and weight, showing that, except in August, the productivity of the Spanish fishery was higher than the Tunisian fishery ($p < 0.05$ in all cases).



Fig. 3. Monthly *Palinurus elephas* yields (A: number; B: weight) from the Spanish (black dots) and Tunisian (white dots) fisheries. Means and standard errors are shown.

Fig. 3. *Rendiments mensuals de* Palinurus elephas *(A: en nombre; B: en pes) de les pesqueries espanyola (quadrats negre) i tunisenca (quadrats blancs). Es mostren també la mitja i l'error estàndar.*



Fig. 4. Number of boats (solid line) and number of days at sea (broken line) of the Tunisian *Palinurus elephas* fleet during 1990-1999.

Fig. 4. *Nombre d'embarcacions (línia contínua) i nombre de dies al mar (línia discontínua) de la flota de tremall de llagosta roja* Palinurus elephas *de Tunísia durant els anys 1990-1999.*

b.3-Landings

Tunisia has a reliable series of lobster statistics because the species is exported for foreign consumption, which encourages good control of lobster landings. This is not the case for Spain, where production is for domestic use and a significant proportion of the catch is sold directly to restaurants or individuals, resulting in greatly underestimated landings. During the sampling period we observed that the fraction sold directly to consumers/restaurants was minimum for the Columbretes fleet but potentially very high for the Balearics. For this reason we decided to show only the official landing statistics from the Columbretes fishing grounds. However, taking into account the number of vessels and the catch per boat deduced from the sampling programme and interviews with fishermen, the lobster annual catch from the Balearic Islands may be estimated at approximately 100 t (in 2003–2004).

The evolution of the fishing effort in the Tunisian fishery is reflected by the change in the number of boats and the number of fishing days during 1990–1999 (Fig. 4). The fleet grew rapidly between 1990 and 1995, when over 80 vessels fished lobster, and declined to 60 boats in 1998–1999. The number of days at sea also increased from 1990 to 1994 (with a peak in 1993, when extremely good weather conditions prevailed) and have remained relatively constant since then. Tunisian annual landings in the period 1990–2002 (Fig. 5) peaked in 1993 where the maximum of the series was achieved (74 t). Since then, lobster catches have decreased progressively to a minimum in 2002 when only about 33 t were landed.

The number of vessels working in the Columbretes Islands fishing grounds ranged from 3–5 in the period 1990–2002. Total annual landings show a declining trend with marked fluctuations during that period. The maximum was reached in 1991–1992 when over 10 t were landed (Fig. 5).

The monthly evolution of the landings averaged over the 12 year period showed different patterns in the Tunisian and Spanish (Columbretes) fisheries (Fig. 6). While in Tunisia the landings increase progressively through the season reaching a maximum (mean: 11.5 t) in July and August, the Columbretes landings grew from mean values of 1 to 1.3 t from March to May and decreased afterwards.

c) By-catch and discards

A total of 48 and 28 different species were caught along with lobster in the Spanish and Tunisian fisheries respectively (table 5). The most common by-catch species in the Spanish lobster fishery were all fishes of high commercial value such as *S. scrofa, L. piscatorius, Z. faber* and *P. phycis*, in declining order of proportion of the catch. In the Tunisian fishery, the most frequent by-catch species were *S. canicula, S. scrofa, R. montagui, S. acanthias* and *T. marmorata,* which have (except the second one) low commercial value.

There was a much greater incidence of *S. scrofa* in Spanish (F=72%) than in Tunisian catches (F=30%). Other coincident species of high commercial value that significantly differed in frequency between the two areas were *L. piscatorius, P. phycis* and *Z. faber.* Some species such as *S. umbra, R. naevus* and *S. cantharus* were rather frequent in Spanish samples but did not appear in Tunisian catches. Conversely, the shark *S. acanthias* was common in Tunisian catches but it never appeared in Spanish catches. The mean number of specimens of commercial value taken per standard haul was very similar in



Fig. 5. Monthly (solid line) and annual (broken line) landings of *Palinurus elephas* in (A) Tunisian and (B) the Columbretes Islands (Spain) fisheries during 1990-2002.

Fig. 5. *Captures mensuals (línia contínua) i anuals (línia discontínua) de llagosta roja* Palinurus elephas *de la flota tunisenca (A) i espanyola (àrea de les Illes Columbretes, B) entre els anys 1990 i 2002.*

the two study areas (~4 ind·haul^{-1}), but the discarded fraction was higher in Spain (4.9 ind·haul^{-1}) than in Tunisia (2.4 ind·haul^{-1}). However, no significant differences were found between the two areas when the percentage of discarded individuals related to the total by-catch were compared ($p>0.05$). The number of discarded individuals that were returned to the sea alive and in good condition was negligible in the two fisheries because the discarded commercial specimens were almost exclusively damaged individuals that could not be marketed, and this applied to the majority of the commercial species in Table V. Only the tougher species such as *Scyliorhinus* spp., *Raja* spp. or *E. marginatus* were returned to the water in good condition when undersized. The least resistant species were soft-bodied fishes such as *P. phycis, M. merluccius* and *M. surmuletus,* which decayed quickly and thus their discarded fraction was very high compared to the commercialised fraction. Commercial pelagic species targeted by other important fisheries such as *S. scombrus, A. rochei* and *S. aurita* were always discarded due to the low number of individuals captured. Finally, there was a group of species without commercial interest that were always discarded such as *T. marmorata, L. bimaculatus* and *M. mola.*



Fig. 6. Mean monthly (mean and standard error) landings of *P. elephas* in the Columbretes Islands (Mediterranean Spanish coast) and Tunisian fisheries during 1990-2002.

Fig. 6. *Captures mitges mensuals (mitja i error estàndar) de llagosta roja* Palinurus elephas *a les pesqueries de tremall del Mediterrani espanyol (àrea de les Illes Columbrets, A) i tunisenca (B) entre els anys 1990 i 2002.*

	Spain					Tunisia				
Species	F	N	%N	Commercial by-catch	Discarded by-catch	F	N	%N	Commercial by-catch	Discarded by-catch
Auxis rochei						2.33	18	7.93	0.529 ± 3.087	
Centracanthus cirrus						2.33	1	0.44	0.029 ± 0.171	
Dasyatis pastinaca	2.30	2	0.26		0.023 ± 0.152					
Dentex dentex	6.90	7	0.90	0.046 ± 0.212	0.034 ± 0.24					
Diplodus vulgaris	2.30	2	0.26	0.011 ± 0.108	0.011 ± 0.108					
Eledone moschata						2.33	1	0.44	0.029 ± 0.171	
Engraulis encrasicolus						2.33	1	0.44	0.029 ± 0.171	
Epinephelus marginatus	2.30	3	0.39	0.011 ± 0.108	0.023 ± 0.216					
Homarus gammarus	5.75	5	0.64	0.057 ± 0.235						
Labrus bimaculatus	5.75	5	0.64		0.057 ± 0.235	2.33	1	0.44		0.029 ± 0.171
Lophius budegassa	13.79	22	2.82	0.207 ± 0.596	0.046 ± 0.262	4.65	2	0.88	0.059 ± 0.239	
Lophius piscatorius	44.83	70	8.99	0.391 ± 0.816	0.414 ± 0.847	4.65	2	0.88	0.029 ± 0.171	0.029 ± 0.171
Lophius sp.						2.33	1	0.44		0.029 ± 0.171
Merluccius merluccius	4.60	7	0.90		0.069 ± 0.369					
Mola mola	2.30	2	0.26		0.023 ± 0.108					
Mullus surmuletus	9.20	16	2.05	0.057 ± 0.281	0.126 ± 0.562	2.33	1	0.44		0.029 ± 0.171
Muraena helena	1.15	1	0.13		0.011 ± 0.108					
Mustelus mustelus						2.33	1	0.44	0.029 ± 0.171	
Octopus vulgaris	3.45	3	0.39	0.023 ± 0.152	0.011 ± 0.108	2.33	1	0.44	0.029 ± 0.171	
Pagellus acarne	11.49	12	1.54	0.069 ± 0.299	0.069 ± 0.299	11.63	14	6.17	0.294 ± 0.97	0.118 ± 0.537
Pagellus erythrinus	20.69	21	2.70	0.080 ± 0.315	0.161 ± 0.43	9.30	5	2.20	0.147 ± 0.436	
Phycis phycis	37.93	66	8.47	0.310 ± 0.579	0.448 ± 1.143	9.30	4	1.76	0.088 ± 0.288	0.029 ± 0.171
Raja asterias	1.15	1	0.13		0.011 ± 0.108					
Raja clavata	2.30	2	0.26	0.023 ± 0.152		11.63	13	5.73	0.206 ± 0.729	0.176 ± 0.869
Raja miraletus	11.49	16	2.05	0.011 ± 0.108	0.172 ± 0.557	9.30	6	2.64	0.118 ± 0.409	0.059 ± 0.343
Raja montagui	2.30	3	0.39	0.011 ± 0.108	0.023 ± 0.152	18.60	18	7.93	0.382 ± 1.015	0.147 ± 0.436
Raja naevus	11.49	16	2.05		0.184 ± 0.584					
Raja sp.						6.98	5	2.20		0.147 ± 0.500
Raja undulata	2.30	2	0.26	0.011 ± 0.011	0.011 ± 0.108					
Sardinella aurita	3.45	12	1.54		0.138 ± 1.086					
Sciaena umbra	17.24	38	4.88	0.108 ± 0.108	0.322 ± 1.648					
Scomber japonicus	1.15	4	0.51		0.046 ± 0.431	4.65	2	0.88	0.059 ± 0.239	
Scomber scombrus	2.30	2	0.26	0.011 ± 0.108	0.011 ± 0.108					
Scophthalmus rhombus	1.15	1	0.13		0.011 ± 0.108					
Scorpaena notata	1.15	1	0.13		0.011 ± 0.108	2.33	1	0.44		0.029 ± 0.171
Scorpaena scrofa	72.41	200	25.67	1.540 ± 1.719	0.759 ± 1.207	30.23	18	7.93	0.353 ± 0.734	0.176 ± 0.387
Scyliorhinus canicula	20.69	31	3.98	0.023 ± 0.152	0.333 ± 0.806	34.88	31	13.66	0.618 ± 1.256	0.294 ± 0.579
Scyliorhinus stellaris	5.75	5	0.64	0.057 ± 0.235		9.30	7	3.08	0.176 ± 0.716	0.029 ± 0.171
Scyllarides latus	2.30	2	0.26	0.023 ± 0.152						
Seriola dumerilii	1.15	1	0.13	0.011 ± 0.108						
Serranus cabrilla	8.05	11	1.41	0.023 ± 0.216	0.103 ± 0.299					
Serranus scriba	1.15	1	0.13		0.011 ± 0.108					
Solea sp.	1.15	1	0.13		0.011 ± 0.108	2.33	1	0.44	0.029 ± 0.171	
Sparus pagrus	11.49	13	1.67	0.034 ± 0.185	0.115 ± 0.389	4.65	2	0.88	0.029 ± 0.171	0.029 ± 0.171
Spondyliosoma cantharus	11.49	17	2.18	0.103 ± 0.435	0.092 ± 0.424					
Squalus acanthias						16.28	37	16.30	0.765 ± 2.686	0.324 ± 1.093
Symphodus sp.	1.15	1	0.13		0.011 ± 0.108					
Torpedo marmorata	14.94	17	2.18		0.195 ± 0.505	16.28	9	3.96		0.265 ± 0.618
Trachinus draco	6.90	10	1.28		0.034 ± 0.185					
Trachinus radiatus	20.69	46	5.91	0.080 ± 0.558	0.310 ± 0.830	6.98	4	1.76	0.029 ± 0.171	0.088 ± 0.379
Trachurus mediterraneus	1.15	1	0.13		0.011 ± 0.108					
Trigla lucerna	2.30	2	0.26	0.011 ± 0.108	0.011 ± 0.108					
Trigla lyra	1.15	1	0.13		0.011 ± 0.108					
Trisopterus minutus	2.30	2	0.26	0.011 ± 0.108	0.011 ± 0.108	2.33	1	0.44	0.029 ± 0.171	
Uranoscopus scaber	9.20	8	1.03	0.057 ± 0.235	0.034 ± 0.185					
Zeus faber	40.23	65	8.34	0.345 ± 0.851	0.402 ± 0.886	11.63	6	2.64	0.147 ± 0.436	0.029 ± 0.171
Total		779		4.023 ± 3.060	4.931 ± 5.424		227		4.235 ± 5.614	2.441 ± 2.642
% Discarded/Total by-catch			Spain	53.6 ± 2.6	NS (P=0.539)			Tunisia	44.3 ± 6.3	

Table 5. Composition (mean and standard deviations) of the by-catch commercial and discarded fractions in catches from the trammel net *Palinurus elephas* Spanish (N=87 hauls) and Tunisian (N=43 hauls) fisheries. The percentage of the discarded fraction related to the total by-catch capture along with the significance of the statistical test used for comparison (t-test after arcsine transformation) are also shown. F: frequency of appearance (%); N: total number; %N: percentage in number. Species are arranged in alphabetical order.

Taula 5. *Composició (mitja i desviació estàndar) del by-catch comercial i del rebuig a la pesqueria de tremall de llagosta roja* Palinurus elephas *del Mediterrani espanyol (N=87 pesques) i de Tunísia (N=43 pesques). Es mostren també el percentatge de la fracció rebujada en relació al total del by-catch així com la significància del test estadístic utilitzat per a les comparacions (t-test després de la transformació arcsinus). F: freqüència d'aparició (%); N: nombre total; %N: percentatge en nombre. Les espècies estan ordenades per ordre alfabètic.*

Discussion

The common spiny lobster *Palinurus elephas* is a high-value commercial species that supports socio-economically important fisheries in the western Mediterranean. Currently, annual landings in the Tunisian and Spanish (Columbretes and Balearic Islands) fisheries studied amount to some 30 and 105 t respectively, with a first sale value of $1.1 \cdot 10^6$ € and $5.3 \cdot 10^6$ € respectively. Although limited, the available information indicates that these landings are among to the lowest in documented history, despite indications of growing fishing effort in recent decades. The fleets from Spain and Tunisia are composed of artisanal boats with technical characteristics closely related to the distance from their homeports to the fishing grounds. This pattern of small-scale fishery applies to all the Mediterranean fleets directed to *P. elephas* (Marin, 1985; Secci *et al.*, 1995, 1999; Latrouite & Noel, 1997; Gristina *et al.*, 2002; Goñi & Latrouite, in press). Over 250 boats and around 600 fishermen participate in the Spanish Balearic and Columbretes islands fisheries during the fishing season (Alarcon, 2001). Lobster has an enormous importance in the Balearic Islands, where around 75% of the artisanal vessels are directed to this species during the fishing season. The Tunisian fishery of La Galite Islands and the Esquerquis Bank involves about 50 boats and employs over 300 fishermen. In both countries, the commercialisation process –for foreign consumption in Tunisia and for domestic use in Spain– provides additional employment and an added value that may surpass the first sale value.

Tunisian exploited populations have a greater proportion of large lobsters than populations exploited by the Spanish fleets, as revealed by both the size range (60–200 vs. 30–170 mm CL) and the modal size (110 vs. 90 mm CL) of the catch. This appears to be unrelated to the characteristics of the fishing grounds because both fisheries work over similar habitats (75–80 m depth over 'maërl' substrates). There is a difference in the mesh size of the inner panel, which is larger in Tunisia (75 mm) than in Spain (70 mm), but we believe this difference is not sufficient to explain the different size structures of the lobster catches in the two areas. Lobsters taken by the Corsican fleet ranged from 40–140 and 40–120 mm CL in males and females respectively, being the modal size of 60–80 mm CL for both sexes (Ceccaldi & Latrouite, 1994). Sardinian populations ranged from 13–126 mm CL and had a modal size of 63–67 mm CL (Secci *et al.*, 1999). The greater proportion of small lobsters in Sardinian and Corsican fisheries than in the Spanish and Tunisian ones could indicate greater exploitation rates in the former. However, the higher proportion of fishing set containing lobster catch in the Spanish than in the Tunisian fisheries (94.2% vs. 72.1%), together with the higher catch rates in the Spanish fishery, is indicative of greater lobster density in Spanish grounds. Conversely, the greater modal and maximum sizes of lobsters in Tunisian catches suggest that the lower densities are not the result of greater fishing pressure, and that other factors may be in play. Optimal lobster habitats (mainly shelter size; see Caddy, 1986 and Planes *et al.*, 2000) could be more patchily distributed in Tunisian grounds, resulting in fewer positive sets. Moreover, because large lobsters, in particular males, tend to be solitary and display agonistic interactions with congeners (Goñi *et al.*, 2003a), the density may be lower in areas where large individuals abound. However, on the basis of the information available it is impossible to determine the relative importance of the different factors considered.

The proportion of lobster catch to total catch was similar in both fisheries (41–48% in number and biomass), as was the proportion of commercial lobster to total lobster (70% in number, 73–81% in biomass). However, the percentage of 'rotten' (damaged individuals caused by stress or predation) and undersized categories differed between zones. In accordance with what was explained before, the individuals under the legal landing size were more frequent in Spanish than in Tunisian catches (21.5% vs. 6.0%), though the proportion of undersized individuals from these zones was far lower than the 80% found by Secci

et al. (1999) in Sardinian catches. Conversely, 'rotten' lobsters were more common in Tunisian than in Spanish catches (24% vs. 7.5% of total lobster catch). 'Rotten' lobsters are almost exclusively the result of predation (mainly by octopuses) and increases with the number of days that the nets remain at sea, and with rising temperature. In this study, soak time could not be the explanation because it was higher in Spain (2.3 and 4.5 days) than in Tunisia (2.5 days). Neither could the presence of predators because those species that could be potential consumers of entangled lobsters, such as *O. vulgaris, D. dentex* or *S. pagrus*, were even more frequent in Spain than in Tunisia. Temperatures at the depth where the majority of lobsters were caught did not differ between the two areas, and thus the higher proportion of 'rotten' lobsters in Tunisia than in Spain remain unexplained.

The seasonal evolution in lobster yields showed opposite trends (clearer for numerical than for biomass data) in the two fisheries, decreasing in Spain and increasing in Tunisia (where data were only available from May) as the season progressed. Mean monthly landings between 1990 and 2002 showed a similar pattern, increasing progressively through the season in Tunisia and increasing from March to May with a subsequent decline in Spain. This may be due to the different pattern of exploitation of the fishing grounds existing in each area. While Spanish vessels work on the same grounds the entire season, the Tunisian fleet fishes close to the homeports at the beginning of the season and moves offshore as the weather improves. Thus, the effect of the 6-month closed season is more conspicuous in Spanish than in Tunisian fisheries. In a study on the dynamics of the protected population from the Columbretes Islands Marine Reserve, Goñi *et al.* (2003a) found that temporal changes in relative abundance followed different patterns inside the reserve and in nearby unprotected areas. While catch rates in the reserve were not significantly different in the three studied periods (February, June and August), the abundance in the unprotected zones was highest in February, just before the fishing season began. Marin (1985) found that lobster yields in Corsica were highest in summer but that frequently decreased in July–August. The author assigned this reduction to reproductive behaviour (mating and egg laying) since many lobsters disappeared from the fishing grounds in late summer.

Tunisian total annual landings showed an abrupt increase from 1990 to 1993 (where the maximum of the series was reached, 74 t), but decreased afterwards. The increase in the early nineties was due to several factors, such as the growth of the fleet and unusually good weather conditions, but mainly to the discovery of new fishing grounds like the Esquerquis Bank (Zarrouk, 2000). Catches from the Columbretes Islands fluctuated during the documented period, peaking in 1991–1992 (10 t) to decrease afterwards. Landings from Sardinia showed a peak in 1984–1985 (more than 10 t) but an abrupt fall occurred afterwards and the annual captures since 1987 have been under the 5 t (Secci *et al.*, 1999). Similar declines are reported for all *P. elephas* fisheries for which some data exist (Petrosino *et al.*, 1985; Marin, 1985; Latrouite & Noel, 1997; Soldo *et al.*, 2001), including the Atlantic fisheries (e.g., Hunter *et al.*, 1996; Goñi & Latrouite, submitted). These data demonstrate the depletion with time of all the documented lobster fisheries, and it would simply reflect an intense exploitation over a marine resource with low resilience.

A total of 48 and 28 different by-catch species were caught in the Spanish and Tunisian fisheries respectively. The discarded fraction of the total by-catch was not significantly different between the two areas. Discard practices were also similar, where the rejected fraction included: 1) damaged and undersized commercial species; 2) species without commercial value; and 3) commercial species which are targeted by other fisheries but are not of interest owing to the low quantities of individuals captured. The serious damage produced by getting entangled in a trammel net (stress, predation) makes the proportion of specimens discarded in good condition negligible and the probability of death increases with soak time (Goñi *et al.*, unpublished data). Similar findings were made in south Portugal where the discarded fraction from trammel netters was

found to be relatively insignificant on a trip basis and was also largely caused by the poor condition of the catch, *S. japonicus* and *S. pilchardus* being the more abundant discarded species (Borges *et al.*, 2001). Trammel net 'ghost fishing' experiences showed that predation (octopuses, cuttlefishes, conger eels, moray eels) was very important on entangled fishes, which disappeared completely after 24 h (Erzini *et al.*, 1997). The discarded fraction in Spain and Tunisia was not as low as that reported by Borges *et al.* (2001) in Portugal, since the numerical importance of rejected specimens per standard haul was rather high (higher than the commercial fraction in Spain, half this fraction in Tunisia). However, Borges *et al.* (2001) did not specify the species targeted by the trammel netters studied and it must be taken into account that the discarded fraction could vary depending on it (mesh size, season, depth, soak time).

To conclude, the findings of the present work show the existence of a common pattern of exploitation for the lobster *P. elephas* in the Mediterranean, consisting of small, artisanal boats fishing almost exclusively with trammel nets. Analysis of historical landings also suggest serious levels of over exploitation in most of the areas studied, indicating that measures of protection should be improved in order to sustain the fisheries of this species which has high economical importance to small-scale Mediterranean fisheries.

Acknowledgements

We owe thanks to Rafael Robles and the FAO-COPEMED team for their help in launching the project. FAO-COPEMED funded this work with a grant awarded to the Instituto Español de Oceanografía (IEO) and the Institut National des Sciences et Technologies de la Mer (INSTM). We express our gratitude to the crews of the artisanal boats Adan i Eva, Català, Calypso, Curniola, Papillon, Torero, Virgen del Carmen, Virgen Milagrosa (Spain) and Oussama (Tunisia) for their kindness during the on-board sampling.

References

Alarcón, J.A. 2001. Inventario de la Pesca Artesanal en España (2000–2001). Report COPEMED/FAO, 13 pp + Annexes.

Borges, T.C., Erzini, K., Bentes, L., Costa, M.E., Gonçalves, J.M.S., Lino, P.G., Pais, C. & Ribeiro, J. 2001. By-catch and discarding practices in five Algarve (southern Portugal) métiers. *J. Appl. Ichthyol.* 17: 104–114.

Campillo, A. 1982. Premières données sur la pêche et la biologie de la langouste de Corse, *Palinurus elephas* Fabricius. *Quaderni del laboratorio di Tecnologia della Pesca* 3(2–5): 115–39.

Campillo, A. & Amadei, J. 1978. Premieres données biologiques sur la langouste de Corse, *Palinurus elephas* Fabricius. *Rev. Trav. Inst. Pêches marit.* 42, 347–373.

Caddy, J.F. 1986. Modelling Stock-recruitment processes in crustacea: some practical and theoretical perspectives. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 43: 2330–2344.

Ceccaldi, H.J & Latrouite, D. 1994. The French fisheries for the European spiny lobster *Palinurus elephas*. In: Phillips, B.F., Cobb, J.S., Kittaka, J. (Eds.), Spiny lobster management. Blackwell Scientific Publications, London, pp. 169–178.

Erzini, K., Monteiro, C.C., Ribeiro, J., Santos, M.N., Gaspar, M., Monteiro, P. & Borges, T.C. 1997. An experimental study of gill net and trammel net "ghost fishing" off the Algarve (southern Portugal). *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 158: 257–265.

Gamulin, T. 1955. Contribution à la connaissance de l'écologie de la langouste (*Palinurus vulgaris* Latreille). *Arch. Zool. exp. gén.*, 67: 32–39.

Goñi, R. & Latrouite, D. (in press). Review of the biology, ecology and fisheries of *Palinurus* spp. species of European waters: *Palinurus elephas* (Fabricius, 1787) and *Palinurus mauritanicus* (Gruvel, 1911). *Cah. Biol. Mar.*

Goñi, R., Reñones, O. & Quetglas, A. 2001a. Dynamics of a protected Western Mediterranean population of the European spiny lobster *Palinurus elephas* (Fabricius, 1787) assessed by trap surveys. *Mar. Fresh. Res.* 52(8): 1577–1587.

Goñi, R., Quetglas, A. & Reñones, O. 2001b. Diet of

the spiny lobster *Palinurus elephas* (Decapoda: Palinuridea) from the Columbretes Islands Marine Reserve (north-western Mediterranean). *J. Mar. Biol. Ass. U. K.* 81: 347–348.

Goñi, R., Quetglas, A. & Reñones, O. 2003a. Differential catchability of male and female European spiny lobster *Palinurus elephas* (Fabricius, 1787) in traps and trammel nets. *Fish. Res.* 65: 295–307.

Goñi, R., Quetglas, A. & Reñones, O. 2003b. Size at maturity, fecundity and reproductive potential of a protected population of the spiny lobster *Palinurus elephas* (Fabricius, 1787) from the Western Mediterranean. *Mar. Biol.*, 143: 583–592.

Gristina, M., Fiorentino, F., Garofalo, G., Ragonese, S. & Gagliano, M. 2002. Gli effetti della protezione sull'aragosta comune (*Palinurus elephas* Fabricius, 1787) nella riserva delle 'Isole Egadi'. Relazione Intermedia del Progetto di Ricerca, ID/WPR/MGFFGGSR MG/2/07/02-DRAFT, IRMA CNR, 23 pp.

Hunter, E., Shackley, S.E. & Bennett, D.B. 1996. Recent studies on the crawfish *Palinurus elephas* in South Wales and Cornwall. *J. Mar. Biol. Ass. U.K.* 76: 963–983.

Iglesias, M., Massutí, E., Reñones, O. & Morales-Nin, B. 1994. Three small-scale fisheries based on the island of Majorca (NW Mediterranean). *Boll. Soc. Hist. Nat. Balears* 37: 36–58.

Latrouite, D. & Noel, P. 1997. Pêche de la langouste rouge *Palinurus elephas* en France, éléments pour fixer une taille marchande. ICES CM 1997/BB:13.

Marin, J. 1985. La langouste rouge: biologie et exploitation. *Pêche maritime* 64: 105–113.

Marin, J. 1987. *Exploitation, biologie et dynamique du stock de langouste rouge de Corse,* Palinurus elephas *Fabricius.* Ph. D. Thesis, Université d'Aix-Marseille, 328 pp.

Moraitopoulou-Kassimati, E. 1973. Distribution and fishing of the lobsters *Palinurus vulgaris* and *Homarus vulgaris* in Greek seas. *Rapp. Comm. int.. Mer Médit.* 22(4): 69–70.

Petrosino, G., Bello, G., De Metrio, G., Lenti, M. & Sciscioli, V. 1985. Andamento della pesca dell'aragosta, *Palinurus elephas* (Fabr.) lungo la costa ionica salentina (Golfo di Taranto) dal 1978 al 1983. Oebalia 11(2): 609–621.

Planes, S., Galzin, R., García Rubies, A., Goñi, R., Harmelin, J.G., Le Diréach, L., Lenfant, P. & Quetglas, A. 2000. Effects of marine protected areas on recruitment processes with special reference to Mediterranean littoral ecosystems. *Environ. Conserv.* 27(2): 126–143.

Santucci, R. 1926. Lo stadio natante e la prima forma post-natante dell'aragosta (*Palinurus vulgaris* Latraille) del Mediterráneo. R. Com. Talas. Italiano, Mem. 127: 1–12.

Santucci, R. 1928. La pesca dell'aragosta in Sardegna. R.. Com. Talas. Italiano, Mem. 135: 3–21.

Secci, E., Addis, P.A., Stefani, M. & Cau, A. 1995. La pesca del *Palinurus elephas* (Fabricius 1787) nei mari circostanti la Sardegna. *Biol. Mar. Medit.* 2(2): 445–457.

Secci, E., Cuccu, D., Follesa, M.C. & Cau, A. 1999. Fishery and tagging of *Palinurus elephas* in Sardinian seas. In: Von Vaupel Klein, J.C., Schram, F.R. (Eds.), The Biodiversity Crisis and Crustacea: Procedings of the fourth International Crustacean Congress, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, July 20–24, 1998, pp. 665–672.

Soldo, A., Cetinic, P., Dulcic, J., Jardus, I. & Pallaoro, A. 2001. The lobster fishery with gillnets in the Eastern Adriatic. *Rapp. Comm. Int. Mer Médit.* 36, 324.

Underwood, A.J. 1997. Experiments in Ecology. Their logical design and interpretation using analysis of variance. University Press, Cambridge, 504 pp.

Zar, J.H. 1999. Biostatistical analysis (4^{th} ed.). Prentice-Hall, New Jersey, 663 pp.

Zarrouk, T. 2000. Exploitation de la langouste en Tunisie. INAT, Mémoire de fin d'étude, 77 pp.