### ORIGINAL

## Cardiometabolic risk assessment in 28300 spanish waiters

Valoración del riesgo cardiometabólico en 28300 camareros españoles

# Rubi Zoe Manzanero<sup>1</sup>, Ángel Arturo López-González<sup>2</sup>, Pilar Tomás-Gil<sup>2</sup>, Hernán Paublini<sup>2</sup>, Andrés Martínez-Jover<sup>2</sup>, José Ignacio Ramírez-Manent<sup>2,3</sup>

PREVIS Gestión de Riesgos, S.L.U. Mallorca
 ADEMA-Health group. IUNICS. University of Balearic Islands
 General Practitioner Department, Balearic Islands Health Service, 07003 Palma, Balearic Islands, Spain

**Corresponding author** Ángel Arturo López-González E-mail: angarturo@gmail.com **Received:** 11- IX - 2023 **Accepted:** 10 - X - 2023

doi: 10.3306/AJHS.2024.39.02.16

#### **Summary**

*Introduction and objectives:* Cardiometabolic pathologies are highly prevalent and will cause high morbimortality throughout the world. These pathologies are multifactorial and have been related in some cases to sociodemographic factors. The aim of this study is to assess the cardiometabolic risk in a group of workers such as waiters who have been little or not studied at all.

*Methods:* Descriptive, cross-sectional study of 28300 Spanish waiters in which different scales of cardiometabolic risk such as obesity, insulin resistance, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, metabolic syndrome, atherogenic indices or cardiovascular risk scales such as SCORE, REGICOR or vascular age were assessed.

**Results:** There was a high prevalence of high values for the different cardiometabolic risk scales analyzed, especially in men, this being particularly relevant since the mean age of the participants was low, 36 years in men and 33.9 years in women.

**Conclusions:** The waiters, who belong to the group of manual workers, present a high prevalence of cardiometabolic risk scales such as obesity, insulin resistance, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease or metabolic syndrome.

Key words: Cardiometabolic risk, manual workers, metabolic syndrome, insulin resistance, obesity, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease.

#### Resumen

*Introducción y objetivos.* Las patologías cardiometabólicas son altamente prevalentes y van a ocasionar una elevada morbimortalidad en todo el mundo. Este conjunto de patologías son multifactoriales y han sido relacionadas en algunos casos con factores sociodemográficos. El objetivo de este estudio es valorar el riesgo cardiometabólico en un colectivo de trabajadores como son los camareros que han sido poco o nada estudiados.

*Material y métodos.* Estudio descriptivo y transversal en 28300 camareros españoles en los que se valoran diferentes escalas de riesgo cardiometabólico como obesidad, resistencia a la insulina, hígado graso no alcohólico, síndrome metabólico, índices aterogénicos o escalas de riesgo cardiovascular como SCORE, REGICOR o edad vascular.

**Resultados.** Existe una alta prevalencia de valores altos de las diferentes escalas de riesgo cardiometabólico analizadas, especialmente en los varones, siendo este dato especialmente relevante ya que la edad media de los participantes era baja, 36 años en los hombres y 33,9 años en las mujeres.

**Conclusiones.** Los camareros, que pertenecen al grupo de trabajadores manuales presentan una elevada prevalencia de escalas de riesgo cardiometabólico como obesidad, resistencia a la insulina, hígado graso no alcohólico o síndrome metabólico.

Palabras clave: Riesgo cardiometabólico, trabajadores manuales, síndrome metabólico, resistencia a la insulina, obesidad, hígado graso no alcohólico.

Cite as: Manzanero RZ, López-González AA, Tomás-Gil P, Paublini H, Martínez-Jover A, Ramírez-Manent JI. Cardiometabolic risk assessment in 28300 spanish waiters. Academic Journal of Health Sciences 2023; 39 (2):16-24 doi: 10.3306/AJHS.2024.39.02.16

## Introduction

The World Health Organization (WHO) states that cardiovascular diseases (CVD) are the leading cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide. They accounted for 27.9% of deaths in Spain in 2019<sup>1</sup>, making them the leading cause of death in our country. Pathophysiological and biochemical factors, together with environmental factors, contribute to the appearance and development of CVD<sup>2</sup>, and its etiology is complex and multifactorial. One of the great challenges for public health is the inequality in health linked to social class among these factors<sup>3</sup>. Thus, members of the most disadvantaged social classes have worse health indicators in terms of lifestyles, morbidity and mortality, and access to medical services<sup>4</sup>. Indicators of socioeconomic position such as income, educational level, employment status and type of employment contribute to these disparities<sup>5</sup>. Non-manual workers who are generally more skilled and manual workers who are generally less skilled have differences in cardiovascular mortality rates. Both men and women who work manually have a higher mortality rate<sup>5</sup>.

The occupational diseases of hospitality workers, including waiters, have been studied in depth, most of which belong to the field of musculoskeletal pathologies, among which we would highlight carpal tunnel syndrome<sup>6-8</sup> and epicondylitis<sup>9</sup>. Dermatitis<sup>10</sup> is also very prevalent in this group. However, there are few studies that assess the prevalence of cardiometabolic disorders in hospitality workers and specifically in waiters, and for this reason the aim of this study is to assess the level of cardiometabolic risk in a large group of Spanish waiters by applying a large number of risk scales.

## **Methods**

Between January 2019 and December 2019, a descriptive, cross-sectional study was conducted on 28300 waiters from different regions of Spain (Balearic Islands, Andalusia, Canary Islands, Valencian Community, Catalonia, Madrid, Castilla La Mancha, Castilla Leon and Basque Country). The waiters in the study were chosen from among those who attended medical examinations in the various participating companies.

A series of inclusion criteria were established:

- Age between 18 and 69 years.
- Working in one of the companies participating in the study.
- Agreeing to participate and providing the data to carry out the study.

The flow diagram is shown in **figure 1**.

Figure 1: Flow chart of the study participants.



#### Determination of variables

The anthropometric, analytical and clinical variables required to calculate the various cardiometabolic risk scales were determined by the health professionals of the different participating companies. The measurement techniques were standardized to reduce potential biases in obtaining the variables.

When the person was in an upright position and with the abdomen relaxed. Height and weight were measured using an approved SECA model scale-measuring scale. In this position, the abdominal waist circumference was determined using a tape measure placed parallel to the ground at the level of the last rib.

The OMROM-M3 sphygmomanometer was used to measure blood pressure. Three measurements were taken with an interval of one minute between each and the mean of the three was obtained after ten minutes of rest.

After a fast of no less than twelve hours, different methods were used to determine the analytical variables, including enzymatic techniques for blood glucose, triglycerides and total cholesterol, as well as precipitation techniques for HDL cholesterol. The Friedewald formula was used to calculate LDL-cholesterol, which is valid for triglyceride values up to 400. All analytical parameters were expressed in milligrams per deciliter.

The following were considered altered values: 200 mg/dL cholesterol, 130 mg/dL LDL and 150 mg/dL triglycerides, or if they were under treatment for any of these analytical alterations.

The recommendations of the American Diabetes Association<sup>11</sup> were used to classify blood glucose levels. Patients with a previous diagnosis, those who had a

blood glucose greater than 125 mg/dL or had an HbA1c of at least 6.5% or were receiving treatment to reduce blood glucose were classified as diabetic.

Weight (in kg) was divided by height squared in meters to calculate BMI. Obese was considered obese at 30 kg/  $\rm m^2$  and above.

#### Scales for calculating the percentage of body fat:

- CUN BAE (Estimador de Adiposidad Corporal de la Clínica Universitaria de Navarra)<sup>12</sup>.
- -44.988 + (0.503 × age) + (10.689 × sex) + (3.172 × BMI) - (0.026 × BMI<sup>2</sup>) + (0.181 × BMI × sex) - (0.02 × BMI × age) - (0.005 × BMI<sup>2</sup> × sex) + (0.00021 × BMI<sup>2</sup> × age). Male =0 Female =1.
- ECORE-BF (Equation Córdoba for Estimation of Body Fat)<sup>13</sup>

97.102+0.123 (age) +11.9 (sex) +35.959 (LnBMI) Man =0 Woman =1.

- Palafolls formula<sup>14</sup> Man =[ (BMI/waist) ×10] +BMI. Woman =[ (BMI/waist) ×10] +BMI+10.
- Fórmula Deuremberg<sup>15</sup> 1.2×(BMI) +0.23×(age) -10.8×(sex) -5.4 Man =0 Woman =1.
- Relative fat mass (RFM)<sup>16</sup> Women: 76- (20 × (height/waist)) Men: 64- (20 × (height /waist)).

#### Other indicators related to overweight and obesity:

Visceral adiposity index (VAI)<sup>17</sup> It has different formulas for women and men. Men: (Waist/(39,68 + (1,88 × BMI)) × (Triglycerides/1,03) × (1,31/HDL) Women: (Waist/(36,58 + (1,89 × BMI)) × (Triglycerides/0,81) × (1,52/HDL)

- Body roundness index (BRI)<sup>18</sup> BRI=364.2-365.5 ×  $\sqrt{1-[(waist/(2\pi) 2)/(0.5 \times height)^2]}$ .
- Body Surface Index (BSI)<sup>19</sup>. BSA is calculated by applying the DuBois formula, where weight is measured in kg and height in cm.

BSA = weight<sup>0,425</sup> x height<sup>0,725</sup> x 0,0007184 BSI = weight/√BSA

- Conicity index<sup>20</sup> CI = (Waist/0,109) x 1/ $\sqrt{}$  weight/height
- Body shape index (ABSI)<sup>21</sup> ABSI = Waist/BMI<sup>2/3</sup> x height<sup>1/2</sup>
- Normalized weight-adjusted index (NWAI)<sup>22</sup> NWAI = (weight /10) - (10 x height) + 10 Weight in kg and height in meters.

#### Other indicators related to cardiovascular risk:

 Triglyceride glucose index<sup>23</sup>, Triglyceride glucose index-BMI<sup>24</sup>, Triglyceride glucose index-waist<sup>25</sup>
 TyGindox = LN (triglycerides [mg/dl] x glycappia [mg/dl

TyGindex = LN ( triglycerides [mg/dl]  $\times$  glycaemia [mg/dl] /2) .

 $TyGindex - BMI = TyGindex \times BMI$ 

TyGindex – waist = TyGindex × waist

- Waist triglyceride index<sup>26</sup> waist (cm) × triglycerides(mmol)
- Cardiometabolic index<sup>27</sup>. Waist/height × triglycerides/HDL

#### Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease risk scales:

- Fatty liver index<sup>28</sup>.

 $\begin{array}{l} \label{eq:FL} FL = \left(e^{0.953^{*}log_{_{\Theta}}}(\text{triglycerides}) + 0.139^{*}\text{BMI} + 0.718^{*}log_{_{\Theta}}(\text{GGT}) + 0.053^{*}\text{waist circumference} \\ ^{-15.745}\right) / \left(1 + e^{0.953^{*}log_{_{\Theta}}}(\text{triglycerides}) + 0.139^{*}\text{BMI} + 0.718^{*}log_{_{\Theta}}(\text{GGT}) + 0.053^{*}\text{waist circumference} \\ ^{-15.745}\right) X \\ 100 \end{array}$ 

- Hepatic steatosis index (HSI)<sup>29</sup> HSI = 8  $\times$  AST/ALT + BMI (+ 2 if 2 diabetes and + 2 if woman)
- Zhejiang University index (ZJU)<sup>30</sup> BMI + Glycaemia (mmol L) +Triglycerides(mmol L) +3 AST/ALT +2 if woman
- Fatty liver disease index (FLD)<sup>31</sup>
  BMI+ triglycerides +3 × (AST/ALT) +2 ×Hiperglucemia (presence=1; absence=0)
  If BMI ≥28 = 1 poit, AST/ALT ≥ 0,8 = 2 points, diabetes mellitus type 2 = 1 point. Cutt off high risk 2 points.
- Men= (waist (cm) 65)  $\times$  (triglycerides (mMol))
- Women: (waist (cm) 58) × (triglycerides (mMol))
- Lipid accumulation product (LAP)<sup>32</sup>.
   Men= (waist (cm) 65) × (triglycerides (mMol)).
   Women: (waist (cm) 58) × (triglycerides (mMol))

#### Atherogenic indexes<sup>33</sup>.

- Total cholesterol/HDL (high values > 5 in men and > 4,5 in women).
- LDL/HDL (high values >3)
- logTriglycerides/HDL (high values >3)
- Total cholesterol -HDL (high values >130)

#### Metabolic syndrome

- The metabolic syndrome was determined using three models<sup>34</sup>:

(a) NCEP ATP III (National Cholesterol Educational Program Adult Treatment Panel III) considers metabolic syndrome when there are three or more of the following factors: blood pressure greater than 130/85 mmHg; triglycerides greater than 150 mg/dl or specific treatment for this lipid disorder; HDL low and glycaemia  $\geq$  100 mg/dl or specific treatment for this glycemic disorder.

b) The International Diabetes Federation (IDF) model establishes as essential a waist circumference greater

than 80 centimeters in women and greater than 94 centimeters in men, in addition to two of the other factors mentioned above for ATP III (triglycerides, HDL, blood pressure and glycemia).

c) The JIS (Joint Interim Statement) model, which follows the same criteria as the NCEP ATP III but with waist circumference cut-off points of 80 cm for women and 94 cm for men.

Atherogenic dyslipidemia<sup>35</sup> is characterized by high triglyceride concentrations (>150 mg/dL) and low HDL; if it also presents high LDL values, it is considered a lipid triad<sup>36</sup>.

#### Cardiovascular risk scales:

The REGICOR scale<sup>37</sup>, which is an adaptation of the Framingham scale to the Spanish population, evaluates the risk of suffering a cardiovascular event during a 10-year period. It can be used between the ages of 35 and 74 years. The risk is considered to be moderate from 5% and high from 10%.

We calculated the SCORE2<sup>38</sup> (Systematic Coronary Risk Evaluation) scale, which measures the risk of suffering a fatal stroke within 10 years.

ERICE (Spanish Cardiovascular Risk Equation) is based on 7 Spanish population-based cohort studies<sup>39</sup>. It estimates the risk of suffering a fatal or non-fatal cerebrovascular event over a 10-year period. The tables are used in persons between 30 and 80 years of age. To calculate the risk, age, sex, smoking, diabetes, systolic blood pressure, antihypertensive treatment and total cholesterol are assessed. To classify the level of cardiovascular risk with the ERICE tables, the cutoff points recommended by the group responsible for the study were used: moderate risk was considered

Table I: Characteristics of the population.

moderate if it exceeded 5%, moderate-high if it was between 15%-19%, high if it was between 20% and 39%, and very high if it exceeded 39%.

Using the Framingham model<sup>40</sup> to calculate vascular age. Age, sex, HDL-c, total cholesterol, systolic blood pressure values, antihypertensive treatment, smoking and diabetes are the data we need to calculate it. It can be calculated from the age of 30 years.

The use of the SCORE<sup>41</sup> model to calculate vascular age. Age, sex, systolic blood pressure, smoking and total cholesterol are used to calculate it. It can be calculated in people aged 40 to 65 years, like the scale from which it is derived.

Avoidable years of life lost (ALLY)<sup>42</sup>, which can be defined as the difference between vascular and biological age, is an interesting concept that applies to both vascular ages.

## Results

Table I shows the characteristics of the sample. Themean age was approximately 35 years, the majoritygroup being between 18 and 39 years of age. Morethan 34% were smokers (slightly higher in women). Allthe variables presented more favorable values in women.

**Table II** shows the mean values of the different cardiometabolic risk scales analyzed, separated by sex. Both the scales that assess overweight-obesity (except those that estimate body fat) and those that determine the risk of insulin resistance, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, cardiovascular risk or atherogenic risk almost always present significantly higher values in male waiters. In all cases except for the liver fibrosis risk scale (BARD scoring), the differences observed between the sexes were statistically significant.

	Men n=14.676	Women n=13.624	
	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	p-value
Age (years)	36.0 (12.1)	33.9 (10.5)	<0.0001
Height (cm)	174.7 (6.9)	162.4 (6.3)	<0.0001
Weight (kg)	76.7 (13.1)	62.2 (12.0)	<0.0001
Waist circumference (cm)	83.7 (10.5)	72.7 (8.9)	<0.0001
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	125.6 (14.6)	114.7 (14.0)	<0.0001
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	75.4 (10.7)	70.2 (9.7)	<0.0001
Total cholesterol (mg/dl)	183.6 (40.2)	181.3 (34.7)	<0.0001
HDL-cholesterol (mg/dl)	51.5 (7.9)	57.9 (7.4)	<0.0001
LDL-cholesterol (mg/dl)	108.3 (37.1)	107.0 (34.4)	0.003
Triglycerides (mg/dl)	122.1 (94.1)	82.0 (40.2)	<0.0001
Glycaemia (mg/dl)	89.4 (20.1)	84.5 (12.9)	<0.0001
ALT (U/L)	29.0 (22.0)	19.9 (15.7)	<0.0001
AST (U/L)	24.3 (11.4)	17.7 (5.9)	<0.0001
GGT (U/L)	32.1 (34.2)	19.4 (20.2)	<0.0001
Creatinine (mg/dl)	0.9 (0.2)	0.7 (0.1)	<0.0001
	%	%	p-value
18-29 years	36.7	40.7	<0.0001
30-39 years	26.3	31.3	
40-49 years	19.7	17.9	
50-59 years	14.4	8.9	
60-69 years	2.9	1.2	
Non-smokers	66.0	65.1	0.140
Smokers	34.0	34.9	

Table II: Differences in mean values of the scales related with cardiovascular risk by sex using the T-Student test.

	Men n=14.676	Women n=13.624	
	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	p-value
Waist to height ratio (WtHR)	0.48 (0.06)	0.45 (0.05)	<0.0001
Body mass index (BMI)	25.1 (4.0)	23.6 (4.3)	<0.0001
CUN BAE	22.6 (6.7)	32.0 (6.7)	<0.0001
ECORE-BF	22.8 (6.3)	32.0 (6.6)	<0.0001
Relative fat mass	21.7 (5.1)	30.7 (4.9)	<0.0001
Palafolls formula	28.1 (4.2)	36.8 (4.6)	<0.0001
Deurenberg formula	22.2 (6.4)	30.7 (6.2)	<0.0001
Body fat index	20.5 (7.5)	25.5 (6.5)	<0.0001
Body surface index	55.2 (7.1)	48.0 (7.0)	<0.0001
Normalized weight adjusted index	0.20 (1.2)	-0.02 (1.16)	<0.0001
Body roundness index	3.0 (1.1)	2.5 (0.9)	<0.0001
Body shape index	0.074 (0.006)	0.070 (0.006)	<0.0001
Visceral adiposity index	6.8 (6.2)	2.4 (1.3)	<0.0001
Conicity index	1.2 0.1	1.1 0.1	<0.0001
METS-VF	6.0 (0.8)	5.2 (0.8)	<0.0001
Waist triglyceride index	117.3 94.2	68.0 37.1	<0.0001
Waist weight index	9.6 (0.8)	9.3 (0.7)	<0.0001
nº factors metabolic syndrome NCEP ATPIII	1.0 (1.1)	0.6 (0.9)	<0.0001
nº factors metabolic syndrome JIS	1.4 (1.2)	0.6 (0.9)	<0.0001
Total cholesterol/HDL-c	3.7 (1.1)	3.2 (0.8)	<0.0001
Triglycerides/HDL-c	2.5 (2.2)	1.5 (0.8)	<0.0001
LDL-c/HDL-c	2.2 (0.9)	1.9 (0.7)	<0.0001
Total cholesterol-HDL-c	132.2 (42.3)	123.4 (36.4)	<0.0001
Cardiometabolic index	1.2 (1.1)	0.7 (0.4)	<0.0001
Triglyceride glucose index (TyG index)	8.4 (0.6)	8.0 (0.4)	<0.0001
TyG index-BMI	212.2 (42.4)	190.2 (39.9)	<0.0001
TyG index-waist circumference	705.7 (113.7)	585.6 (85.7)	<0.0001
TyG index-WtHR	4.0 (0.6)	3.6 (0.5)	<0.0001
METS-IR	36.5 (7.6)	32.2 (6.9)	<0.0001
ALLY vascular age SCORE	7.5 (6.8)	3.9 (4.9)	<0.0001
SCORE scale	1.8 (2.2)	0.4 (0.8)	<0.0001
ALLY vascular age Framingham	5.6 (9.9)	-1.0 (10.3)	<0.0001
REGICOR scale	3.3 (2.2)	2.8 (2.2)	<0.0001
ERICE scale	4.2 (5.0)	2.1 (2.7)	<0.0001
Fatty liver index	30.1 (25.2)	12.3 (16.5)	<0.0001
Hepatic steatosis index	35.8 (5.9)	34.5 (5.9)	<0.0001
Zhejiang University index	36.3 (5.1)	34.9 (5.2)	<0.0001
Fatty liver disease	31.2 (4.7)	28.2 (5.0)	<0.0001
BARD scoring	1.6 (1.1)	1.7 (0.9)	0.110
Lipid accumulation product	27.7 (30.2)	14.3 (13.8)	<0.0001

CUN BAE Clinica Universitaria Navarra Body Adiposity Estimator; ECORE-BF Equation Córdoba for Estimation of Body Fat; METS-VF Metabolic score- visceral fat. ALLY Avoidable lost life years. SCORE Systematic COronary Risk Evaluation. REGICOR REgistre Gironi del COR. HDL-c High density lipoprotein cholesterol. LDL Low density lipoprotein cholesterol. METS-IR Metabolic score for Insulin Resistance. TyG Triglyceride glucose index

**Table III**, which evaluates the prevalence of elevated values of the different cardiometabolic risk scales in both sexes, shows a situation similar to that already mentioned with the mean values, that is, there is a higher prevalence in men. In this case, all the differences observed were statistically significant.

**Table IV**, which presents the results of the multinomial logistic regression analysis, shows that the variable that most increases the risk of presenting elevated values of the cardiometabolic scales is age, followed by sex (male), whereas smoking does not affect most of the scales. The highest odds ratios were found for SCORE, Deuremberg and diabesity in the case of age and for SCORE, METS-VF and hypertriglyceridemic waist for the male sex.

## **Discussion**

The prevalence of elevated values of cardiometabolic risk scales in waiters can be considered overall as moderate in men and moderate-low in women. We would highlight the high prevalence of high values of the scales that estimate body fat, dyslipidemia, atherogenic and cardiovascular risk, especially considering that the mean age of the population is low.

We have not found in the literature consulted references to studies analyzing cardiometabolic risk in hospitality workers, nor specifically in waiters, for this reason we are going to compare our results with similar work groups at a socioeconomic level, that is, with people of lower socioeconomic levels.

In a study carried out in 5,370 Spanish farmers (3,695 men and 1,675 women) with an average age of around<sup>41</sup> years, different scales of cardiometabolic risk were analyzed. A high percentage of the farmers were found to have obesity, hypertension, hypertriglyceridemia, hypercholesterolemia, metabolic syndrome, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, and elevated REGICOR and SCORE values, data similar to those found by us in this group of waiters<sup>43</sup>. This same group conducted a study in 1094 male Bolivian miners and found similar risk levels<sup>44</sup>.

Table III: Differences in the prevalence of altered values of different scales related with cardiovascular risk by sex using the chi-square test.

	Men n=14.676	Women n=13.624	
	%	%	p-value
Waist to height ratio > 0.50	33.1	12.3	<0.0001
Body mass index obesity	11.1	8.2	<0.0001
CUN BAE obesity	35.9	29.7	<0.0001
ECORE-BF obesity	36.4	29.2	<0.0001
Relative fat mass obesity	26.6	37.8	<0.0001
Palafolls formula obesity	77.0	59.6	< 0.0001
Deuremberg formula obesity	32.7	46.7	<0.0001
METS-VF high	4.4	0.4	<0.0001
Diabesity	1.5	0.6	<0.0001
Hypertension	21.8	7.6	<0.0001
Total cholesterol ≥ 200 mg/dl	31.4	27.1	<0.0001
LDL-c ≥ 130 mg/dl	27.7	23.3	<0.0001
Triglycerides $\geq$ 150 mg/dl	23.0	5.9	<0.0001
Glycaemia 100-125 mg/dl	12.5	5.9	<0.0001
Glycaemia ≥ 126 mg/dl	2.4	0.6	<0.0001
Metabolic syndrome NCEP ATPIII	10.7	4.2	<0.0001
Metabolic syndrome IDF	7.7	4.2	<0.0001
Metabolic syndrome JIS	19.6	5.0	<0.0001
Atherogenic dyslipidemia	5.4	2.1	<0.0001
Lipid triad	1.6	0.4	<0.0001
Hipertriglyceridemic waist	6.3	0.7	<0.0001
Total cholesterol/HDL-c moderate-high	11.2	6.4	<0.0001
Triglycerides/HDL-c high	23.7	4.6	<0.0001
LDL-c/HDL-c high	18.7	7.9	<0.0001
Total cholesterol-HDL-c high	49.3	39.7	<0.0001
METS-IR high	5.8	2.8	<0.0001
TyG index high	24.3	8.1	<0.0001
LAP high	29.9	15.6	<0.0001
Fatty liver index high risk	15.9	3.6	<0.0001
SCORE scale moderate-high	28.6	3.5	<0.0001
REGICOR scale moderate-high	21.0	16.7	<0.0001
ERICE scale moderate-high	11.7	1.5	<0.0001

CUN BAE Clinica Universitaria Navarra Body Adiposity Estimator; ECORE-BF Equation Córdoba for Estimation of Body Fat; METS-VF Metabolic score- visceral fat. ALLY Avoidable lost life years. SCORE Systematic COronary Risk Evaluation. REGICOR REgistre Gironi del COR. HDL-c High density lipoprotein cholesterol. LDL Low density lipoprotein cholesterol. METS-IR Metabolic score for Insulin Resistance. TyG Triglyceride glucose index. LAP Lipid accumulation product

Different studies carried out by our group in large groups of workers have found a relationship between belonging to the most disadvantaged social classes and presenting high prevalence of different cardiometabolic risk scales such as nonalcoholic fatty liver disease<sup>45</sup>, obesity<sup>46</sup>, vascular age<sup>47</sup>, or metabolic syndrome<sup>48</sup>, among others.

A study carried out in Danes aged 18 to 25 years in which the relationship between low socioeconomic status and the prevalence of cardiometabolic risk was assessed concluded that there was an inverse relationship between them, such that the prevalence was higher in people from the lowest socioeconomic stratum<sup>49.</sup>

A study carried out in 2650 Chinese adults showed a higher prevalence of cardiometabolic disorders, especially metabolic syndrome, in groups belonging to the poorest socioeconomic groups<sup>50</sup>. This same relationship between metabolic syndrome and low socioeconomic status was observed in another study carried out in a young population in Iran<sup>51</sup>.

A study of 15,057 elderly Spanish workers in different occupations, in which different cardiometabolic risk scales were assessed, showed that in addition to male sex and tobacco consumption, one of the factors influencing the prevalence of cardiometabolic disorders such as nonalcoholic fatty liver disease or obesity was belonging to the group of manual workers<sup>52</sup>. An Indonesian study of 137,378 workers found that manual workers were more likely to report symptoms of cardiovascular disease than non-manual workers<sup>53</sup>.

#### **Strengths and limitations**

Among the strengths of the study, we would highlight the large sample size, in both sexes, and the large number of cardiometabolic risk scales analyzed. It is also one of the first, if not the first article to specifically assess the cardiometabolic level of waiters, so that this study could become a reference for further research in this group of workers.

The main limitation is that most of the cardiometabolic risk parameters were not determined using objective methods but by applying risk scales.

## Conclusions

The waiters analyzed in this study, despite their youth, presented higher prevalences of the different cardiometabolic risk scales than expected in persons of this age.

The variables that most increase the risk of presenting high values of all the cardiometabolic risk scales are age followed by sex (male), while smoking does not influence in most cases.

#### **Conflict of Interest**

The authors declared that there is no conflict of interest.

#### Table IV: Multinomial logistic regression.

	≥ 50 years	Male	Smokers
	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)
WtHR < 0.50	1	1	1
WtHR ≥0.50	2.01 (1.87-2.17)	3.38 (3.18-3.60)	ns
BMI non obesity	1	1	1
BMI obesity	2.34 (2.13-2.57)	1.29 (1.19-1.40)	ns
CUN BAE non obesity	1	1	1
CUN BAE obesity	6.83 (6.33-7.36)	1.20 (1.14-1.27)	0.95 (0.90-0.99)
ECORE non obesity	1	1	1
ECORE obesity	6.48 (6.01-6.99)	1.23 (1.16-1.29)	0.94 (0.89-0.99)
RFM non obesity	1	1	1
RFM obesity	1.91 (1.78-2.05)	0.56 (0.54-0.59)	ns
Palafolls formula non obesity	1	1	1
Palafolls formula obesity	3.87 (3.49-4.29)	2.15 (2.04-2.27)	0.93 (0.88-0.99)
Deurenberg formula non obesity	1	1	1
Deurenberg formula obesity	18.23 (16.50-20.15)	0.40 (0.38-0.42)	0.93 (0.88-0.99)
METS-VF normal	1	1	1
METS-VF high	6.70 (5.73-7.83)	10.74 (7.99-14.43)	ns
Non hypertension	1	1	1
Hypertension	5.16 (4.78-5.58)	3.11 (2.88-3.36)	ns
Total cholesterol < 200 mg/dl	1	1	1
Total cholesterol ≥ 200 mg/dl	4.12 (3.84-4.42)	1.10 (1.05-1.16)	ns
LDL-c < 130 mg/dl	1	1	1
LDL-c ≥ 130 mg/dl	4.32 (4.03-4.64)	1.12 (1.06-1.19)	ns
Triglycerides < 150 mg/dl	1	1	1
Iriglycerides ≥ 150 mg/dl	2.25 (2.07-2.45)	4.52 (4.17-4.91)	ns
Giycaemia < 126 mg/dl		1	1
Giycaemia ≥ 126 mg/di	9.13 (8.01-10.41)	2.20 (1.90-2.54)	ns
Non metabolic syndrome NCEP ATPIII			1
Nen metebolic syndrome IDE	5.55 (5.05-6.06)	2.39 (2.10-2.03)	1
Motobolic syndrome IDF	3 23 (2 00 3 60)	1 72 (1 55 1 01)	l nc
Non motobolic syndrome IJP	3.23 (2.90-3.00)	1.72 (1.55-1.91)	1
Metabolic syndrome IIS	5 51 (5 08-5 98)	4 28 (3 91-4 68)	ns
Non atherogenic dyslinidemia	1	1	1
Atherogenic dyslipidemia	3 17 (2 78-3 62)	2 38 (2 07-2 74)	ns
Non lipid triad	1	1	1
Lipid triad	2.69 (2.10-3.44)	3.37 (2.53-1.48)	ns
Non Hipertriglyceridemic waist	1	1	1
Hipertriglyceridemic waist	1.82 (1.57-2.11)	8.50 (6.91-10.45)	ns
Total cholesterol/HDL-c normal	1	1	1
Total cholesterol/HDL-c high	4.88 (4.46-5.34)	1.59 (1.46-1.74)	ns
Triglycerides/HDL-c normal	1	1	1
Triglycerides/HDL-c high	4.56 (4.22-4.94)	2.44 (2.26-2.63)	ns
LDL-c/HDL-c normal	1	1	1
LDL-c/HDL-c high	5.71 (5.26-6.20)	1.34 (1.28-1.41)	ns
SCORE scale low	1	1	1
SCORE scale moderate-high	107.73 (82.21-141.18)	23.14 (18.45-29.02)	7.84 (6.50-9.45)
REGICOR scale low	1	1	1
REGICOR scale moderate-high	1.91 (1.76-2,08)	1.25 (1.15-1.35)	1.20 (1.11-1.30)
Fatty liver index low-moderate risk	1	1	1
Fatty liver index high risk	2.38 (2.15-2.64)	4.80 (4.31-5.35)	ns
	1	1	1
LAP nign	2.21 (2.06-2.38)	2.20 (2.07-2.33)	ns
DARD SCOTE IOW	1		1
DARD SCORE NIGN	ns	0.55 (0.45-0.66)	ns
Non diabesity	11 05 (9 04 14 00)	1 77 (1 97 0 00)	
	11.20 (0.84-14.33)	1.77 (1.37-2.28)	0.73 (0.56-0.94)
		1 07 (1 7/ 0 00)	
	2.00 (2.20-2.90)	1.37 (1.74-2.23)	1
	2 81 (2 60-3 03)	3 41 (3 17-3 66)	ne
i you maax mgn	2.01 (2.00-0.00)	0.41 (0.17-0.00)	110

WtHR Waist to height ratio. BMI.Body mass index. CUN BAE Clinica Universitaria Navarra Body Adiposity Estimator; ECORE-BF Equation Córdoba for Estimation of Body Fat; METS-VF Metabolic score- visceral fat. ALLY Avoidable lost life years. SCORE Systematic COronary Risk Evaluation. REGICOR Registre Glroni del COR. HDL-c High density lipoprotein cholesterol. LDL Low density lipoprotein cholesterol. METS-IR Metabolic score for Insulin Resistance. TyG Triglyceride glucose index. LAP Lipid accumulation product

#### **References**

1. De qué mueren los españoles? Causas de muerte, datos y estadísticas. Available at: https://www.epdata.es/datos/muereespanoles-causasmuerte-datos-estadisticas/241/espana/106

2. Vera-Remartínez EJ, Lázaro Monge R, Granero Chinesta S, Sánchez-Alcón Rodríguez D, Planelles Ramos MV. Factores de riesgo cardiovascular en adultos jóvenes de un centro penitenciario. Revista Española De Salud Pública. 2018;92:e201807037.

3. López-González ÁA, Bennasar-Veny M, Tauler P, Aguilo A, Tomàs-Salvà M, Yáñez A. Socioeconomic inequalities and age and gender differences in cardiovascular risk factors. Gac Sanit. 2015;29(1):27-36.

4. Cano-Serral G, Rodríguez-Sanz M, Borrell C, Pérez Mdel M, Salvador J. Socioeconomic inequalities in the provision and uptake of prenatal care. Gac Sanit. 2006;20(1):25-30.

5. Paglione L, Angelici L, Davoli M, Agabiti N, Cesaroni G. Mortality inequalities by occupational status and type of job in men and Mujeres: results from the Rome Longitudinal Study. BMJ Open. 2020;10(6):e033776. doi:10.1136/bmjop en-2019-033776

6. Bugajska J, Jedryka-Góral A, Sudol-Szopińska I, Tomczykiewicz K. Carpaltunnelsyndromeinoccupationalmedicine practice. Int J Occup Saf Ergon. 2007;13(1):29-38. doi: 10.1080/10803548.2007.11076706

7. Rezazadeh M, Aminianfar A, Pahlevan D. Short-term effects of dry needling of thenar muscles in manual laborers with carpal tunnel syndrome: a pilot, randomized controlled study. Physiother Theory Pract. 2023 May;39(5):927-937. doi: 10.1080/09593985.2022.2033897.

8. Thomsen JF, Hansson GA, Mikkelsen S, Lauritzen M. Carpal tunnel syndrome in repetitive work: a follow-up study. Am J Ind Med. 2002 Oct;42(4):344-53. doi: 10.1002/ajim.10115.

9. Barco R, Antuña SA. Medial elbow pain. EFORT Open Rev. 2017 Aug 30;2(8):362-371. doi: 10.1302/2058-5241.2.160006.

10. Schwensen JF, Menné T, Veien NK, Funding AT, Avnstorp C, Østerballe M, et al. Occupational contact dermatitis in blue-collar workers: results from a multicentre study from the Danish Contact Dermatitis Group (2003-2012). Contact Dermatitis. 2014 Dec;71(6):348-55. doi: 10.1111/cod.12277.

11. American Diabetes Association Professional Practice Committee. 2. Classification and Diagnosis of Diabetes: Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes-2022. Diabetes Care. 2022 Jan 1;45(Suppl 1):S17-S38. doi: 10.2337/dc22-S002.

12. López-González AA, Jover AM, Martínez CS, Artal PM, Bote SA, Jané BA, Ramírez-Manent JI. The CUN-BAE, Deurenberg Fat Mass, and visceral adiposity index as confident anthropometric indices for early detection of metabolic syndrome components in adults. Sci Rep. 2022 Sep 15;12(1):15486. doi: 10.1038/s41598-022-19343-w.

13. Vicente-Herrero MT, Egea Sancho M, Ramírez Íñiguez de la Torre MV, López González ÁA. Relación de los índices de adiposidad visceral (VAI) y disfuncional (DAI) con parámetros de obesidad. Semergen. 2023 Apr 17;49(6):101965. doi: 10.1016/j.semerg.2023.101965.

14. Mill-Ferreyra E, Cameno-Carrillo V, Saúl-Gordo H, CamíLavado MC. Estimation of the percentage of body fat based on the body mass index and the abdominal circumference: Palafolls Formula. Semergen. 2019;45(2):101-8.

15. López-González AA, Ramírez Manent JI, Vicente-Herrero MT, García Ruiz E, Albaladejo Blanco M, López Safont N. [Prevalence of diabesity in the Spanish working population: influence of sociodemographic variables and tobacco consumption]. An Sist

Sanit Navar. 2022 Apr 27;45(1):e0977. Spanish. doi: 10.23938/ ASSN.0977.

16. Senkus KE, Crowe-White KM, Locher JL, Ard JD. Relative fat mass assessment estimates changes in adiposity among female older adults with obesity after a 12-month exercise and diet intervention. Ann Med. 2022 Dec;54(1):1160-1166. doi: 10.1080/07853890.2022.2067352.

17. Zhang X, Sun Y, Li Y, Wang C, Wang Y, Dong M, et al. Association between visceral adiposity index and heart failure: A cross-sectional study. Clin Cardiol. 2023 Mar;46(3):310-319. doi: 10.1002/clc.23976.

18. Gao W, Jin L, Li D, Zhang Y, Zhao W, Zhao Y, et al. The association between the body roundness index and the risk of colorectal cancer: a cross-sectional study. Lipids Health Dis. 2023 Apr 18;22(1):53. doi: 10.1186/s12944-023-01814-2.

19. Xiong J, Wang H, Zhu Y, Zhou Y, Pang Y, Zhang L. The Right Internal Jugular at the Cricoid Cartilage Level May Represent the Optimal Central Vein Puncture Site in Pediatric Patients. Front Pediatr. 2022 Feb 22;10:833845. doi: 10.3389/fped.2022.833845.

20. Zhang A, Li Y, Ma S, Bao Q, Sun J, Cai S, et al. Conicity-index predicts all-cause mortality in Chinese older people: a 10-year community follow-up. BMC Geriatr. 2022 Dec 16;22(1):971. doi: 10.1186/s12877-022-03664-6.

21. Hacıağaoğlu N, Öner C, Çetin H, Şimşek EE. Body Shape Index and Cardiovascular Risk in Individuals With Obesity. Cureus. 2022 Jan 14;14(1):e21259. doi: 10.7759/cureus.21259.

22. Doménech-Asensi G, Gómez-Gallego C, Ros-Berruezo G, García-Alonso FJ, Canteras-Jordana M. Critical overview of current anthropometric methods in comparison with a new index to make early detection of overweight in Spanish university students: the normalized weight-adjusted index. Nutr Hosp. 2018 Mar 1;35(2):359-367. English. doi: 10.20960/nh.1189.

23. Ramdas Nayak VK, Satheesh P, Shenoy MT, Kalra S. Triglyceride Glucose (TyG) Index: A surrogate biomarker of insulin resistance. J Pak Med Assoc. 2022 May;72(5):986-988. doi: 10.47391/JPMA.22-63.

24. Cheng Y, Fang Z, Zhang X, Wen Y, Lu J, He S, et al. Association between triglyceride glucose-body mass index and cardiovascular outcomes in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention: a retrospective study. Cardiovasc Diabetol. 2023 Mar 30;22(1):75. doi: 10.1186/s12933-023-01794-8.

25. Yan S, Wang D, Jia Y. Comparison of insulin resistance-associated parameters in US adults: a cross-sectional study. Hormones (Athens). 2023 Jun;22(2):331-341. doi: 10.1007/s42000-023-00448-4.

26. Li Y, Zheng R, Li S, Cai R, Ni F, Zheng H, et al. Association Between Four Anthropometric Indexes and Metabolic Syndrome in US Adults. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne). 2022 May 24;13:889785. doi: 10.3389/ fendo.2022.889785.

27. Cai X, Hu J, Wen W, Wang J, Wang M, Liu S, et al. Associations of the Cardiometabolic Index with the Risk of Cardiovascular Disease in Patients with Hypertension and Obstructive Sleep Apnea: Results of a Longitudinal Cohort Study. Oxid Med Cell Longev. 2022 Jun 23;2022:4914791. doi: 10.1155/2022/4914791.

28. Wang C, Cai Z, Deng X, Li H, Zhao Z, Guo C, et al. Association of Hepatic Steatosis Index and Fatty Liver Index with Carotid Atherosclerosis in Type 2 Diabetes. Int J Med Sci. 2021 Jul 23;18(14):3280-3289. doi: 10.7150/ijms.62010.

29. Chang JW, Lee HW, Kim BK, Park JY, Kim DY, Ahn SH, et al.

Hepatic Steatosis Index in the Detection of Fatty Liver in Patients with Chronic Hepatitis B Receiving Antiviral Therapy. Gut Liver. 2021 Jan 15;15(1):117-127. doi: 10.5009/gnl19301.

30. Li X, Qin P, Cao L, Lou Y, Shi J, Zhao P, et al. Dose-response association of the ZJU index and fatty liver disease risk: A large cohort in China. J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2021 May;36(5):1326-1333. doi: 10.1111/jgh.15286.

31. Lee I, Cho J, Park J, Kang H. Association of hand-grip strength and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease index in older adults. J Exerc Nutrition Biochem. 2018 Dec 31;22(4):62-68. doi: 10.20463/jenb.2018.0031.

32. Ebrahimi M, Seyedi SA, Nabipoorashrafi SA, Rabizadeh S, Sarzaeim M, Yadegar A, et al. Lipid accumulation product (LAP) index for the diagnosis of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD): a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lipids Health Dis. 2023 Mar 15;22(1):41. doi: 10.1186/s12944-023-01802-6.

33. López González ÁA, Rivero Ledo YI, Vicente Herrero MT, Gil Llinás M, Tomás Salvá M, Riutord Fe B. Índices aterogénicos en trabajadores de diferentes sectores laborales del área Mediterránea Española. Clin Investig Arterioscler. 2015 May-Jun;27(3):118-28. doi: 10.1016/j. arteri.2014.10.004.

34. Wen J, Yang J, Shi Y, Liang Y, Wang F, Duan X, et al. Comparisons of different metabolic syndrome definitions and associations with coronary heart disease, stroke, and peripheral arterial disease in a rural Chinese population. PLoS One. 2015 May 11;10(5):e0126832. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0126832.

35. Syed T, Siddiqui MS. Atherogenic Dyslipidemia After Liver Transplantation: Mechanisms and Clinical Implications. Liver Transpl. 2021 Sep;27(9):1326-1333. doi: 10.1002/lt.26069.

36. Paublini H, López González AA, Busquets-Cortés C, Tomas-Gil P, Riutord-Sbert P, Ramírez-Manent JI. Relationship between Atherogenic Dyslipidaemia and Lipid Triad and Scales That Assess Insulin Resistance. Nutrients. 2023 Apr 27;15(9):2105. doi: 10.3390/nu15092105.

37. Fresneda S, Abbate M, Busquets-Cortés C, López-González AA, Fuster-Parra P, Bennasar-Veny M, et al. Sex and age differences in the association of fatty liver index-defined non-alcoholic fatty liver disease with cardiometabolic risk factors: a cross-sectional study. Biol Sex Differ. 2022 Nov 4;13(1):64. doi: 10.1186/s13293-022-00475-7.

38. SCORE2 working group and ESC Cardiovascular risk collaboration. SCORE2 risk prediction algorithms: new models to estimate 10year risk of cardiovascular disease in Europe. Eur Heart J. 2021 Jul 1;42(25):2439-2454. doi: 10.1093/eurhearti/ehab309.

39. Gabriel R, Brotons C, Tormo MJ, Segura A, Rigo F, Elosua R, et al. La ecuación ERICE: la nueva ecuación autóctona de riesgo cardiovascular para una población mediterránea envejecida y de bajo riesgo en España. Rev Esp Cardiol. 2015;68(3):205-15.

40. Ramírez M. La edad vascular como herramienta de comunicación del riesgo cardiovascular. Centro Integral para la Prevención de Enfermedades Crónicas. 2010; Available at: http://pp.centramerica. com/pp/bancofotos/267-2570.pdf

41. Cuende JL. La edad vascular frente al riesgo cardiovascular: aclarando conceptos. Rev Esp Cardiol. 2016;69(3):243-6.

42. Cuende Jl. Vascular Age, RR, ALLY, RALLY and Vascular Speed, Based on SCORE: Relations Between New Concepts of Cardiovascular Prevention. Rev Esp Cardiol (Engl Ed). 2018 May;71(5):399-400. English, Spanish. doi: 10.1016/j.rec.2017.02.043.

43. Mobebbi V, Aramayo A, Morales J. Determination of scales related to cardiovascular risk and fatty liver in 5.370 spanish farmers. AJHS 2021;36(2):26-33 doi: 10.3306/AJHS.2021.36.02.26

44. Mobebbi V, Lagrava R, Aramayo A, Liceras C, Apaza B. Results of a health intervention program in 1094 bolivian mining workers. AJHS 2022;37(1):48-51 doi: 10.3306/AJHS.2022.37.01.48

45. Martínez-Almoyna E , Tomás-Gil P , Coll-Villalonga JL, , Ramírez-Manent JI, Riera K , López-González AA. Variables that influence the values of 7 scales that determine the risk of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and liver fibrosis in 219,477 spanish workers. AJHS 2023;38(4):9-16 doi: 10.3306/AJHS.2023.38.04.9

46 Vicente-Herrero MT, Ramírez MV, Capdevila L, Partida-Hanon A, Reinoso-Barbero L, López-González AA. Lifestyle, overweight and obesity in spanish workers: related variables. AJHS 2022;37(4):135-43 doi: 10.3306/AJHS.2022.37.04.135

47. Montero-Muñoz N, López-González AA, Tomás-Gil P, Martínez-Jover A, Paublini H, Ramírez Manent JI. Relationship between sociodemographic variables and tobacco consumption with vascular age values using the Framinghan model in 336,450 spanish workers .AJHS 2023;38(5):61-6 doi: 10.3306/AJHS.2023.38.05.61

48. Martínez-Jover A, López-González AA, Tomás-Gil P, Coll-Villalonga JL, Martí-Lliteras P, Ramírez-Manent JI. Variables influencing the appearance of metabolic syndrome with three different definitions in 418.343 spanish workers. AJHS 2023;38(4):129-35 doi: 10.3306/AJHS.2023.38.04.129

49. Kempel MK, Winding TN, Böttcher M, Andersen JH. Subjective social status and cardiometabolic risk markers in young adults. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2022 Mar;137:105666. doi: 10.1016/j. psyneuen.2022.105666.

50. Hao Z, Wang M, Zhu Q, Li J, Liu Z, Yuan L, et al. Association Between Socioeconomic Status and Prevalence of Cardio-Metabolic Risk Factors: A Cross-Sectional Study on Residents in North China. Front Cardiovasc Med. 2022 Mar 7;9:698895. doi: 10.3389/ fcvm.2022.698895.

51. Shafiee G, Qorbani M, Heshmat R, Mohammadi F, Sheidaei A, Motlagh ME, et al. Socioeconomic inequality in cardio-metabolic risk factors in a nationally representative sample of Iranian adolescents using an Oaxaca-Blinder decomposition method: the CASPIAN-III study. J Diabetes Metab Disord. 2019 Apr 28;18(1):145-153. doi: 10.1007/s40200-019-00401-6.

52. Ramírez-Manent JI, Altisench Jané B, Arroyo Bote S, López Roig C, González San Miguel H, López-González AA. Cardiometabolic profile of 15057 elderly Spanish workers: association of sociodemographic variables and tobacco consumption. BMC Geriatr. 2022 Nov 17;22(1):872. doi: 10.1186/s12877-022-03547-w.

53. Prihartono NA, Fitriyani F, Riyadina W. Cardiovascular Disease Risk Factors Among Blue and White-collar Workers in Indonesia. Acta Med Indones. 2018 Apr;50(2):96-103.